arXiv:1010.3404v6 [math.AG] 1 Nov 2011

$\mathbb{Q}$-FANO THREEFOLDS OF LARGE FANO INDEX, II

YURI PROKHOROV

ABSTRACT. We classify Q-Fano threefolds of Fano index > 2 and big degree.

1. INTRODUCTION

This work is a sequel to our previous papers [Pro07], [Pro10]. Recall that a three-dimensional projective variety X is called *$\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold* if it has only terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities, $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, and its anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ is ample. In this situation we define *Fano-Weil* and *$\mathbb{Q}$-Fano indices* as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{qW}(X) &:= \max\{q \in \mathbb{Z} \mid -K_X \sim qA,\ A \text{ is a Weil divisor}\}, \\ \operatorname{q\mathbb{Q}}(X) &:= \max\{q \in \mathbb{Z} \mid -K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} qA,\ A \text{ is a Weil divisor}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sim$ (resp. $\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}$) denotes linear (resp. numerical) equivalence. Clearly, $\operatorname{qW}(X)$ divides $\operatorname{q\mathbb{Q}}(X)$. Another important invariant of a Fano variety X is the *genus* $\operatorname{g}(X) := \dim |-K_X| - 1$. It is known that

$$\operatorname{qW}(X),\ \operatorname{q\mathbb{Q}}(X) \in \{1, \dots, 11, 13, 17, 19\} \tag{1.1.1}$$

(see [Suz04], [Pro10, Lemma 3.3]). Moreover, in [Pro10] we proved the following result.

1.2. Theorem. *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with $q := \operatorname{q\mathbb{Q}}(X) \ge 9$. Then $q = \operatorname{qW}(X)$ and $\operatorname{Cl}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.*

(i) *If $q = 19$, then $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(3, 4, 5, 7)$.*
(ii) *If $q = 17$, then $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(2, 3, 5, 7)$.*
(iii) *If $q = 13$ and $\operatorname{g}(X) > 4$, then $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 3, 4, 5)$.*
(iv) *If $q = 11$ and $\operatorname{g}(X) > 10$, then $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5)$.*
(v) *$q \ne 10$.*

In this paper we study $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds with $3 \le \operatorname{q\mathbb{Q}}(X) \le 9$ and "sufficiently big" genus. Our main result is the following.

1.3. Theorem. *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold and let $q := \operatorname{q\mathbb{Q}}(X)$.*

The author's work partially supported by RFBR grants No. 11-01-00336-a, 11-01-92613-KO_a, the grant of Leading Scientific Schools No. 4713.2010.1 and AG Laboratory SU-HSE, RF government grant ag. 11.G34.31.0023.

(i) *If* $q = 9$ *and* $\mathrm{g}(X) > 4$, *then* $\mathrm{g}(X) = 18$. *In this case,* $X \simeq X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ *and the equation of* X_6, *in suitable coordinates, can be written as* $x_1x_5 + x_2x_4 + x_3^2 = 0$.

(ii) *If* $q = 8$ *and* $\mathrm{g}(X) > 10$, *then either*
  (a) $X \simeq X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3^2, 5)$ *and the equation of* X_6, *in suitable coordinates, can be written as* $x_1x_5 + x_3x_3' + x_2^3 = 0$, *or*
  (b) $X \simeq X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5, 7)$.

(iii) *If* $q = 7$ *and* $\mathrm{g}(X) > 17$, *then* $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$.

(iv) *If* $q = 6$ *and* $\mathrm{g}(X) > 15$, *then* $X \simeq X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3, 5)$ *and the equation of* X_6, *in suitable coordinates, can be written as* $x_1'x_5 + x_3^2 + x_2^3 + \lambda x_1^4x_2 + \mu x_1^6 = 0$.

(v) *If* $q = 5$ *and* $\mathrm{g}(X) > 18$, *then* $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^3, 2)$ *or* $X_4 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2^2, 3)$.

(vi) *If* $q = 4$ *and* $\mathrm{g}(X) > 21$, *then* $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$ *or* $X_4 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^3, 2, 3)$.

(vii) *If* $q = 3$ *and* $\mathrm{g}(X) > 20$, *then* $X \simeq X_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ *or* $X_3 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^4, 2)$.

The following consequence of our theorem was inspired by discussions with Jürgen Hausen.

1.4. Corollary. *Let* $\mathcal{X}_q$ *be the class of all* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Fano threefolds* X *with* $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = q \geq 3$ *and let* $X_q \in \mathcal{X}_q$ *be a* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Fano threefold of maximal genus* $\mathrm{g}(X)$. *Then* X_q *admits an effective action of a torus of dimension* ≥ 2.

In proofs we use a computer computation based on the orbifold Riemann-Roch theorem and Bogomolov-Miyaoka inequality. It gives us 472 possible candidates of Hilbert series of $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds with $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = \mathrm{qW}(X) \geq 3$. The most essential part of the paper is to disprove some cases or to show that some particular $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold is isomorphic to a certain hypersurface in a weighted projective space. Here we use techniques which is a generalization of Fano-Iskovskikh "double projection" method. It was adopted to the singular case by Alexeev [Ale94] (see also [Pro07], [Pro10]). The method can be applied in many other cases. For example we prove the following.

1.5. Theorem. *Let* X *be a* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Fano threefold.*

(i) *If* $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 7$, $\mathrm{g}(X) = 17$, *and* $-K_X^3 = 7^3/10$, *then* $X \simeq X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2^2, 3, 5)$.

(ii) *The case* $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 8$, $\mathrm{g}(X) = 10$, *and* $-K_X^3 = 8^3 \cdot 2/45$ *does not occur.*

Note however that typically our method works for Fano threefolds having sufficiently many anticanonical sections. For another approaches we refer to [RS03], [BKR10].

The progress in the classification of $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds of Fano index ≥ 3 is summarized in the following table (for simplicity we assume here that the group $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free):

qW(X)	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	13	17	19
# candidates	231	124	63	11	23	10	2	1	3	2	1	1
of which do not occur	12	11	11	2	7	5	0	1	0	0	0	0
of which exist	13	10	9	1	5	3	2	0	3	2	1	1
of which exist and completely described	4	3	3	1	2	2	1	0	1	1	1	1

In this table the second row shows the number of admissible baskets of corresponding Fano index according to [GRD], [BS07], [Pro]. In the third row we indicate the number of candidates which do not occur as $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds. This is according to this paper and [Pro07]. The fourth row shows the number baskets for which we know examples of $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds. Here all known Fano threefolds are of codimension ≤ 3 in some weighted projective space [GRD], [BS07]. The last row shows the number baskets which are completely investigated: we know the explicit description of corresponding $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds according to [San96], this paper, and [Pro10].

Codimension 4 $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds of were investigated in [BKR10] under an assumption that the Fano index equals to 1. The authors proved that in many cases a codimension 4 basket can be realized by at least two different deformation families of $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds. There is a hope to adopt this method to $\mathbb{Q}$-Fanos of higher Fano index. Note however that it follows from our main theorem that the following codimension 4 candidates do not exist:

- $\mathrm{qW}(X) = 7$, $\mathbf{B}(X) = (2, 2, 8)$, $A^3 = 1/8$, [GRD, no. 41482],
- $\mathrm{qW}(X) = 6$, $\mathbf{B}(X) = (7)$, $A^3 = 2/7$, [GRD, no. 41468],
- $\mathrm{qW}(X) = 4$, $\mathbf{B}(X) = (5)$, $A^3 = 4/5$, [GRD, no. 41356],
- $\mathrm{qW}(X) = 3$, $\mathbf{B}(X) = (5)$, $A^3 = 8/5$, [GRD, no. 41225].

Acknowledgments. This paper grew out of a talk given at the "Higher Dimensional Birational Geometry" workshop at the University of Warwick during my visit in June, 2010. I would like to thank the Warwick Institute of Advanced Study for the support and Professor Miles Reid for the invitation, hospitality and his interest in current computation.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation. We work over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$ throughout.

$\mathbf{B}(X)$ denotes the basket of a terminal threefold X,
$\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ denotes the Weil divisor class group,
$\rho(X) := \operatorname{rk} \operatorname{Pic}(X)$,
$\mathrm{g}(X) := \dim |-K_X| - 1$, the *genus* of a Fano threefold X,
$\mathbb{P}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ is the weighted projective space,

$X_d \subset \mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is a hypersurface of weighted degree d.

2.2. We will use systematically a computer computation based on the orbifold Riemann-Rich theorem [Rei87] and Bogomolov-Miyaoka inequality (see [Kaw92]). The algorithm is explained in [BS07] and [Pro10, Proof of Lemma 3.5]. It allows us to find all possible baskets and Hilbert series of $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds with $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = \mathrm{qW}(X) \geq 3$. The corresponding computer programs can be found in the Graded Ring Database [GRD] (MAGMA code) or on author's homepage [Pro] (PARI code). Note that we may assume that $-K_X^3 \leq 125/2$ and the equality holds only for $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^3, 2)$ [Pro07].

To apply 2.2 we typically have to show that the group $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free. This can be done by using the following.

2.3. Lemma (cf. [Pro07, Prop. 5.3]**).** *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) > 3$. Assume that $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ contains an element ξ of order $n > 1$. Then there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold Y such that $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X)$ divides $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(Y)$ and $-K_Y^3 \geq -nK_X^3$. Moreover,*

$$\mathrm{g}(Y) \geq n(\mathrm{g}(X) - 1) - 3.$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of [Pro07, Prop. 5.3]. □

2.4. Construction. We use notation of [Pro10]. Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold and let A be an ample Weil divisor whose class generates the group $\mathrm{Cl}(X)/\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Thus we have $-K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} qA$.

In the construction below we follow [Ale94]. All the facts are explained in [Pro10], so basically we omit proofs. Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a mobile linear system without fixed components and let $c := \mathrm{ct}(X, \mathscr{M})$ be the canonical threshold of $(X, \mathscr{M})$. So the pair $(X, c\mathscr{M})$ is canonical but not terminal. Assume that $-(K_X + c\mathscr{M})$ is ample. Let $f\colon \tilde{X} \to X$ be a $K + c\mathscr{M}$-crepant blowup in the Mori category so that $\tilde{X}$ has only terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities, $\rho(\tilde{X}/X) = 1$, and

$$K_{\tilde{X}} + c\tilde{\mathscr{M}} = f^*(K_X + c\mathscr{M}). \tag{2.4.1}$$

Here the exceptional locus $E \subset \tilde{X}$ is an irreducible divisor.

As in [Ale94], run $K + c\mathscr{M}$-MMP on $\tilde{X}$. We get the following diagram (Sarkisov link of type I or II)

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \tilde{X} \arrow[r, dashed] \arrow[dl, "f"'] & \bar{X} \arrow[dr, "\bar{f}"] & \\ X & & & \hat{X} \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.4.2}$$

where the varieties $\tilde{X}$ and $\bar{X}$ have only $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities, $\rho(\tilde{X}) = \rho(\bar{X}) = 2$, f is a Mori extremal divisorial contraction, $\tilde{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{X}$ is a sequence of log flips, and $\bar{f}$ is a (not necessarily birational) Mori extremal contraction. In particular, $\rho(\hat{X}) = 1$. Let Θ be a Weil divisor on $\hat{X}$ whose class generates $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X})/{\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}}$.

If the linear system $|kA|$ is not empty, everywhere below we denote by $S_k \in |kA|$ a general member. In all what follows, for a divisor (or a linear system) D on X, let $\tilde{D}$ and $\bar{D}$ denote strict birational transforms of D on $\tilde{X}$ and $\bar{X}$, respectively.

We can write

$$f^*S_k = \tilde{S}_k + \beta_k E, \tag{2.4.3}$$

$$K_{\tilde{X}} = f^*K_X + \alpha E, \quad K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{\mathscr{M}} + a_0 E = f^*(K_X + \mathscr{M}), \tag{2.4.4}$$

where $\beta_k \geq 0$ and α, $a_0 > 0$. If $\mathscr{M} = |kA| = |S_k|$, then $a_0 = \beta_k - \alpha$. Note that the divisor $-K_{\tilde{X}} \equiv c\tilde{\mathscr{M}} - f^*(K_X + c\mathscr{M})$ is nef outside of a finite number of curves on $\tilde{X}$. The same holds for $-K_{\bar{X}}$.

2.4.5. Lemma ([Pro10, 4.2])**.** *Let $P \in X$ be a point of index $r > 1$. Assume that $\mathscr{M} \sim -mK_X$ near P, where $0 < m < r$. Then $c \leq 1/m$.*

2.5. Assume that $\bar{f}$ is not birational. Then $\hat{X}$ is either a smooth rational curve or a del Pezzo surface with at worst Du Val singularities and $\rho(\hat{X}) = 1$ [MP08]. We also have $\bar{f}(\bar{E}) = \hat{X}$. If moreover $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free, then $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{P}(1^2, 2)$, $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3)$, or $\mathrm{DP}_5^{\mathrm{A}_4}$, where $\mathrm{DP}_5^{\mathrm{A}_4}$ is a Du Val del Pezzo surface of degree 5 with $\rho = 1$ (see Proposition 2.8). The following obvious computation will be used frequently throughout the paper.

$\hat{X}$	$\mathbb{P}^1$	$\mathbb{P}^2$	$\mathbb{P}(1^2,2)$	$\mathbb{P}(1,2,3)$	$\mathrm{DP}_5^{\mathrm{A}_4}$
$\dim\lvert\Theta\rvert$	1	3	1	0	0

2.6. Assume that the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. Then $\hat{X}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold. In this case, denote by $\bar{F}$ the $\bar{f}$-exceptional divisor, $\tilde{F} \subset \tilde{X}$ its proper transform, $F := f(\tilde{F})$, and $\hat{q} := \mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(\hat{X})$. For a divisor $\bar{D}$ on $\bar{X}$, we put $\hat{D} := \bar{f}_*\bar{D}$. Write $\hat{S}_k \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} s_k\Theta$ and $\hat{E} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} e\Theta$, where s_k and e are non-negative integers. One can see that $\bar{E} \neq \bar{F}$. Thus $e > 0$. Clearly, $s_k = 0$ if and only if $\bar{S}_k = \bar{F}$. In particular, $s_k > 0$ if S_k is moveable. Similar to (2.4.4) we write

$$K_{\bar{X}} = \bar{f}^*K_{\hat{X}} + b\bar{F}, \quad \bar{S}_k = \bar{f}^*\hat{S}_k - \gamma_k\bar{F}, \quad \bar{E} = \bar{f}^*\hat{E} - \delta\bar{F}, \tag{2.6.1}$$

where b, δ, γ_k are non-negative rational numbers, and $b > 0$.

2.6.2. Lemma. *If $\bar{f}$ is birational and $\alpha < 1$, then $\mathrm{g}(X) \leq \mathrm{g}(\hat{X})$.*

Proof. Let $\mathscr{H} := |-K_X|$. Similar to (2.4.4) we can write

$$K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{\mathscr{H}} + a_0'E = f^*(K_X + \mathscr{H}) \sim 0,$$

where $a_0' \geq -\alpha > -1$. Since $K_X + \mathscr{H}$ is Cartier, we have $a_0' \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_0' \geq 0$. Hence, $-K_{\hat{X}} \sim \hat{\mathscr{H}} + a_0'\hat{E}$ and so $\dim|-K_{\hat{X}}| \geq \dim \hat{\mathscr{H}} = \dim \mathscr{H}$. □

2.6.3. Lemma ([Pro10, 4.12]). *Assume that* $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ *is torsion free and the map* $\bar{f}$ *is birational. Write* $F \sim dA$. *Then* $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n$, *where* $d = ne$. *If additionally* $s_1 = 0$ *(i.e.,* $\bar{S}_1$ *is* $\bar{f}$*-exceptional), then* $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X})$ *is torsion free and* $e = 1$.

2.7. Lemma ([Kaw05]). *Let* $X \ni P$ *be a threefold terminal point of index* $r > 1$ *with basket* $\mathbf{B}(X, P)$ *(see* [Rei87]*) and let* $f : (\tilde{X} \supset E) \to (X \ni P)$ *be a divisorial Mori extraction, where* E *is the exceptional divisor and* $f(E) = P$. *Write* $K_{\tilde{X}} = f^*K_X + \alpha E$.

- (i) *If* $X \ni P$ *is a point of type other than* cA/r *and* $r > 2$, *then* $\alpha = 1/r$.
- (ii) *If* $X \ni P$ *is of type* cA/r *and* $\mathbf{B}(X, P)$ *consists of* n *points of index* r, *then* $\alpha = a/r$, *where* $n \equiv 0 \mod a$.

Proof. The assertion (i) immediately follows by [Kaw05, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3]. Assume that $X \ni P$ is of type cA/r and $\alpha > 1/r$. Again according to [Kaw05, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3] the point $P \in X$ can be identified with

$$\{x_1x_2 + \phi(x_3^r, x_4) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^4/\boldsymbol{\mu}_r(1, -1, b, 0),$$

so that f is the weighted blowup with weights $(r_1/r, r_2/r, a/r, 1)$, where

- $a \equiv br_1 \mod r$, and $r_1 + r_2 \equiv 0 \mod ra$,
- $(a - br_1)/r$ is coprime to r_1,
- ϕ has weighted order $(r_1 + r_2)/r$ with weights $(a/r, 1)$,
- the monomial $x_3^{(r_1+r_2)/a}$ appears in ϕ with a nonzero coefficient.

Then $\alpha = a/r$. In our case x_4^n appears in ϕ with a nonzero coefficient. Hence, $(r_1 + r_2)/r = n$ and so $n \equiv 0 \mod a$. □

2.8. Proposition. *Let* Z *be a del Pezzo surface of degree* 5 *with only Du Val singularities. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathrm{Pic}(Z) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$,
- (ii) $\mathrm{Cl}(Z) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$,
- (iii) $\mathrm{Sing}(Z)$ *consists of one Du Val point of type* A_4,
- (iv) $-K_Z \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 5L$ *for some Weil divisor* L.

Moreover, such Z *is unique up to isomorphism and isomorphic to a hypersurface of degree* 6 *in* $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5)$.

Proof. Almost all assertions are well-known (see e.g. [Fu86, §3]). It is easy to see that a general hypersurface $Z_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5)$ satisfies the above property (iv). Since Z is unique up to isomorphism, it should be isomorphic to Z_6. □

The del Pezzo surface Z as above we denote by $\mathrm{DP}_5^{\mathrm{A}_4}$.

2.8.1. Lemma. (i) *Let* $Z = \mathrm{DP}_5^{\mathrm{A}_4}$ *and let* Θ *be a generator of* $\mathrm{Cl}(Z)$*. Then*

$$\dim |t\Theta| = t-1 \text{ for } t = 1, \dots, 4 \text{ and } \dim |t\Theta| = t \text{ for } t = 5 \text{ and } 6.$$

(ii) *Let* $Z = \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3)$ *and let* Θ *be the positive generator of* $\mathrm{Cl}(Z)$*. Then*

$$\dim |t\Theta| = t-1 \text{ for } t = 1, \dots, 5, \text{ and } \dim |6\Theta| = 6.$$

Proof. Follows by the orbifold Riemann-Roch formula [Rei87, Theorem 9.1] and Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing. □

The following fact is a consequence of computer search (see 2.2), degree bound $-K_X^3 \le 125/2$ [Pro07], and [Pro10, Th. 1.4].

2.9. Proposition. *Let* X *be a* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Fano threefold with* $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = \mathrm{qW}(X)$ *and let* $A \in \mathrm{Cl}(X)$ *be such that* $-K_X = \mathrm{qW}(X)A$*.*

(i) *If* $\mathrm{qW}(X) \ge 8$*, then* $\dim |A| \le 0$*.*
(ii) *If* $\mathrm{qW}(X) = 7$ *and* $\dim |A| \ge 1$*, then* $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$*.*
(iii) *If* $\mathrm{qW}(X) \ge 4$ *and* X *is singular, then* $\dim |A| \le 2$*.*
(iv) *If* $\dim |A| \ge 2$ *and* $\mathrm{qW}(X) \ge 5$*, then* $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^3, 2)$*.*

3. Case $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 9$

Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 9$ and $\mathrm{g}(X) > 4$. By [Pro10, Proposition 3.6] we have $\mathbf{B}(X) = (2, 4, 5)$ and $\mathrm{Cl}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Further, $\dim |kA| = 0$, 1, 2, 4, 6 for $k = 1$, 2, 3, 4, 5, respectively. Thus there are irreducible divisors $S_k \in |kA|$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

First we prove the following.

3.1. Proposition. *The pair* $(X, |4A|)$ *is canonical.*

Proof. Put $\mathscr{M} := |4A|$ and assume that $(X, \mathscr{M})$ is not canonical. Apply construction (2.4.2). In (2.4.4) we have $0 < a_0 = \beta_4 - \alpha$. Hence,

$$\beta_4 > \alpha, \quad \beta_1 \ge \frac{1}{4}\beta_4 > \frac{1}{4}\alpha, \quad \beta_2 \ge \frac{1}{2}\beta_4 > \frac{1}{2}\alpha.$$

Further, for some $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we can write

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} & K_{\bar{X}} + 9\bar{S}_1 & + \; a_1\bar{E} & \sim \; 0, \\ (3.1.1) & K_{\bar{X}} + \bar{S}_1 + 4\bar{S}_2 & + \; a_2\bar{E} & \sim \; 0, \\ & K_{\bar{X}} + \bar{S}_4 + \bar{S}_5 & + \; a_4\bar{E} & \sim \; 0, \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{lrclclcl} & a_1 & = & 9\beta_1 - \alpha & > & 5\beta_1 & > & 0, \\ (3.1.2) & a_2 & = & \beta_1 + 4\beta_2 - \alpha & > & \beta_1 + 2\beta_2 & > & 0, \\ & a_4 & = & \beta_4 + \beta_5 - \alpha & > & \beta_5 & > & 0. \end{array}$$

3.1.3. Claim. $f(E)$ *is a point of index* > 1*.*

Proof. Assume that $f(E)$ is either a Gorenstein point or curve. Then α and β_k are integers, so $a_2 \geq 4$. If $\bar{f}$ is not birational, then restricting (3.1.1) to its general fiber V we get a contradiction (otherwise $-K_V$ is divisible by some integer $\geq a_2$). Hence, the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. From the second relation of (3.1.1) we have $\hat{q} = s_1 + 4s_2 + a_2 e \geq 8$. Then by [Pro10, Th. 1.4] $\hat{S}_2 \not\sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \Theta$ (because $\dim|\hat{S}_2| \geq 1$), so $s_2 \geq 2$. Therefore, $\hat{q} \geq 13$ (see (1.1.1)). Using [Pro10, Prop. 3.6] we get successively $s_2 \geq 3$, $\hat{q} \geq 17$, $s_2 \geq 5$, and $\hat{q} > 19$, a contradiction. □

3.1.4. From now on we assume that $f(E)$ is a point of index $r > 1$, where $r = 2$, 4, or 5. By Lemma 2.7 we have $\alpha = 1/r$.

3.1.5. Claim. *$\hat{X}$ is not a surface.*

Proof. Assume that $\hat{X}$ is a surface. Restricting (3.1.1) to a general fiber $\bar{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{pt}) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ we see that the divisors $\bar{S}_1$ and $\bar{S}_2$ are $\bar{f}$-vertical and $\bar{S}_1 \sim \bar{f}^*\Theta$. Since $\dim|\bar{S}_1| = 0$, $\hat{X}$ is either $\mathbb{P}(1,2,3)$ or $\mathrm{DP}_5^{\mathrm{A}_4}$ (see 2.5). Moreover, since $a_4 > 0$, $\bar{S}_k$ is also $\bar{f}$-vertical for $k = 4$ or 5. Then $\bar{S}_k \sim k\bar{S}_1 \sim k\bar{f}^*\Theta$. In this case, $2k - 4 = \dim|\bar{S}_k| = \dim|k\Theta|$. We get a contradiction by Lemma 2.8.1. □

3.1.6. Claim. *$\hat{X}$ is not a curve.*

Proof. Assume that $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. Then by (3.1.1) divisors $\bar{S}_1$ and $\bar{S}_2$ are $\bar{f}$-vertical, $\bar{S}_4$ and $\bar{S}_5$ are $\bar{f}$-horizontal (because $\bar{S}_4$ and $\bar{S}_5$ are irreducible and $\dim|S_4|, \dim|S_5| > 1$), and $\bar{f}$ is generically $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle. In this case, $3 = a_1 = 9\beta_1 - \alpha$. Since $\alpha = 1/r$, we have $r\beta_1 = (1 + 3r)/9 \notin \mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. □

From now on we assume that $\bar{f}$ is birational. Then

$$9s_1 + a_1 e = s_1 + 4s_2 + a_2 e = s_4 + s_5 + a_4 e = \hat{q}. \tag{3.1.7}$$

3.1.8. Claim. *$\hat{q} \leq 8$.*

Proof. Assume that $\hat{q} \geq 9$. Then the group $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free by Theorem 1.2. Since $\alpha = 1/r$, we have $\mathrm{g}(\hat{X}) \geq \mathrm{g}(X) = 18$ by Lemma 2.6.2 and $\hat{q} \leq 13$ (see [Pro10, Table 3.6]). Again from [Pro10, Table 3.6], using inequalities $\dim|\hat{S}_4| \geq \dim|4A| = 4$ and $\dim|\hat{S}_5| \geq \dim|5A| = 6$, one can obtain successfully

$$\hat{q} \geq 9 \Longrightarrow s_4 \geq 4,\ s_5 \geq 5 \Longrightarrow \hat{q} \geq 11 \Longrightarrow s_4 \geq 5,\ s_5 \geq 6 \Longrightarrow$$
$$\Longrightarrow \hat{q} = 13 \Longrightarrow s_4 \geq 6,\ s_5 \geq 8 \Longrightarrow a_4 < 0,$$

which is a contradiction. □

3.1.9. Corollary. *$s_1 = 0$, $e = 1$, $s_2 = 1$, $a_1 = \hat{q}$, $a_2 = \hat{q} - 4$.*

3.1.10. Corollary. *$r = 5$ and $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$.*

Proof. By (3.1.2) we have $\hat{q} = a_1 = 9\beta_1 - 1/r$, $r\beta_1 = (\hat{q}r + 1)/9$. Since $r\beta_1$ is an integer, there is only one possibility: $r = 5$ and $\hat{q} = 7$. Hence, $s_2 = 1$. The group $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free by Lemma 2.6.3. Then the second assertion follows by Proposition 2.9 because $\dim |\Theta| \ge 1$. □

Now we are in position to finish the proof of Proposition 3.1. Since $\dim |\hat{S}_5| \ge 6$, $s_5 \ge 3$. Similarly $s_4 \ge 3$. From (3.1.1) we get $a_4 = 1$, $s_4 = s_5 = 3$, i.e., $\hat{S}_4 \sim \hat{S}_5 \sim 3\Theta$. Note also that $\dim |S_5| = \dim |3\Theta| = 6$, so $|S_5| = |5A|$ is the birational pull-back of $|3\Theta|$.

By Corollary 3.1.9 $\bar{S}_1$ is the $\bar{f}$-exceptional divisor and by (2.6.1) we have

$$K_{\bar{X}} + 7\bar{S}_2 \equiv \bar{f}^* \left(K_{\hat{X}} + 7\hat{S}_2 \right) + (b - 7\gamma_2)\, \bar{S}_1 \;\equiv\; (b - 7\gamma_2)\, \bar{S}_1.$$

Pushing this relation to X we get $b = 5 + 7\gamma_2 \ge 5$. In particular, $\bar{f}(\bar{S}_1)$ is a smooth point [Kaw96] and so b, δ, and γ_k are integers (because $K_{\hat{X}}$, $\hat{E}$, and $\hat{S}_k$ are Cartier at $\bar{f}(\bar{S}_1)$). Since $\mathrm{Bs}\, |3\Theta|$ is contained in the singular locus of $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$, $\gamma_5 = 0$. On the other hand,

$$K_{\bar{X}} + \frac{7}{3}\bar{S}_5 \equiv \bar{f}^* \left(K_{\hat{X}} + \frac{7}{3}\hat{S}_5 \right) + \left(b - \frac{7}{3}\gamma_5 \right) \bar{S}_1 \equiv \left(b - \frac{7}{3}\gamma_5 \right) \bar{S}_1.$$

Hence, $8/3 = b - 7\gamma_5/3 = b$, a contradiction. Proposition 3.1 is proved completely. □

3.2. Now by Proposition 3.1 the pair $(X, \mathscr{M})$ is canonical. Then a general member $M \in \mathscr{M}$ is a normal surface with only Du Val singularities [Ale94, 1.12, 1.21]. By the adjunction formula $-K_M = 5A|_M$ and $K_M^2 = 5$. Therefore, M is a del Pezzo surface of degree 5. By Proposition 2.8 we have $M \simeq \mathrm{DP}_5^{\mathrm{A}_4} \simeq M_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5)$. Then the statement (i) of Theorem 1.3 follows by the following.

3.3. Theorem (hyperplane section principle, [Rei]**, cf.** [Mor75]**,** [San96]**).** *Let R be a graded integral domain, and let $0 \ne x_0 \in R$ be a graded element of degree a_0. Let $\bar{R} := R/(x_0)$.*

- (i) *Let $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_k$ be homogeneous elements that generate $\bar{R}$, and $x_1, \dots, x_k \in R$ any homogeneous elements that map to $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_k \in \bar{R}$. Then R is generated by $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k$.*
- (ii) *Under the assumption of* (i)*, let $\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_n$ be homogeneous generators of the ideal of relations holding between $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_k$. Then there exist homogeneous relations $f_1, \dots, f_n$ holding between $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$ in R such that the f_i reduces to $\bar{f}_i$ modulo x_0 and $f_1, \dots, f_n$ generate the relation between $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$.*

4. CASE $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 6$

Using 2.2 one can get the following computation.

4.1. Lemma. *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with* $\mathrm{qW}(X) = \mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 6$ *and* $\mathrm{g}(X) > 15$. *Let* $-K_X = 6A$. *Then the group* $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ *is torsion free and we have one of the following cases:*

	B	A^3	$\dim \lvert kA\rvert$					
			$\lvert A\rvert$	$\lvert 2A\rvert$	$\lvert 3A\rvert$	$\lvert 4A\rvert$	$\lvert 5A\rvert$	$\lvert -K\rvert$
1^o	(7)	$2/7$	1	4	8	14	22	32
2^o	(5)	$1/5$	1	3	6	10	16	23

4.2. Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 6$ and $\mathrm{g}(X) > 15$. First we assume that is torsion free. General case will follow by Lemma 4.4 below. In particular, $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = \mathrm{qW}(X)$. Thus X is described in Lemma 4.1. We will show that the case 1^o does not occur. The case 2^o was considered in [San96]. It can also be treated by the method of §3.

4.3. Case 1^o**.** We have

$$K_{\bar{X}} + 6\bar{S}_1 + a_1\bar{E} \sim 0. \tag{4.3.1}$$

Put $\mathscr{M} := |A|$. Near the point of index 7 we have $A \sim -6K_X$ and $\mathscr{M} \sim A \sim -6K_X$. By Lemma 2.4.5 we get $c \le 1/6$. So, $\beta_1 \ge 6\alpha$ and $a_1 = 6\beta_1 - \alpha \ge 35\alpha \ge 5$. Therefore, the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational and $\hat{q} \ge 11$. Moreover, $f(E) \in X$ is a cyclic quotient singularity of index 7 and $\alpha = 1/7$ by [Kaw96], Lemma 2.7. Taking Lemma 2.6.2 into account we obtain $\mathrm{g}(\hat{X}) \ge \mathrm{g}(X) = 31$. This contradicts Theorem 1.2.

4.4. Lemma. *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with* $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 6$. *Assume that the torsion part of* $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ *is non-trivial. Then* $\mathrm{g}(X) \le 13$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathrm{g}(X) \ge 14$. By Lemma 2.3 there is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold Y with $\mathrm{Cl}(Y) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathrm{g}(Y) \ge 23$ and whose Fano-Weil index $\mathrm{qW}(Y)$ is divisible by 6. This contradicts the above considered case 1^o and (1.1.1). □

5. CASE $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 8$

5.1. Lemma. *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with* $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = \mathrm{qW}(X) = 8$, $\mathrm{g}(X) \ge 10$ *and* $A^3 \ne 4/91$. *Let* $-K_X = 8A$. *Then the group* $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ *is torsion free and we have one of the following cases:*

	B	A^3	$\dim \lvert kA\rvert$							
			$\lvert A\rvert$	$\lvert 2A\rvert$	$\lvert 3A\rvert$	$\lvert 4A\rvert$	$\lvert 5A\rvert$	$\lvert 6A\rvert$	$\lvert 7A\rvert$	$\lvert -K\rvert$
1^o	$(3,9)$	$1/9$	0	2	4	7	11	16	22	29
2^o	$(3,5,11)$	$16/165$	0	1	3	5	9	13	18	25

	B	A^3	$\lvert A\rvert$	$\lvert 2A\rvert$	$\lvert 3A\rvert$	$\lvert 4A\rvert$	$\lvert 5A\rvert$	$\lvert 6A\rvert$	$\lvert 7A\rvert$	$\lvert -K\rvert$
3^o	(11)	$1/11$	0	2	3	6	9	13	18	24
4^o	$(7,11)$	$6/77$	0	1	2	4	7	10	15	20
5^o	$(3,3,5)$	$1/15$	0	1	3	4	7	10	13	18
6^o	$(3,7)$	$1/21$	0	1	2	3	5	7	10	13
7^o	$(3,3,5,9)$	$2/45$	-1	0	1	2	4	6	8	11

5.2. Now let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 8$ and $\mathrm{g}(X) > 10$. First we assume that the group $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free. For general case see Lemma 5.9 below. Thus X is described in Lemma 5.1. We will show that cases 1^o, 2^o, 3^o, 4^o, and 7^o do not occur. We also show that in the cases 5^o and 6^o we have $X \simeq X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3^2,5)$ and $X \simeq X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,5,7)$, respectively. In all cases, $\dim |A| \le 0$ and linear systems $|2A|$ and $|3A|$ have no fixed components. Write

$$\begin{array}{llll} K_{\bar{X}} & +8\bar{S}_1 & & +a_1\bar{E} \sim 0, \\ K_{\bar{X}} & +4\bar{S}_2 & & +a_2\bar{E} \sim 0, \\ K_{\bar{X}} & +2\bar{S}_3 + \bar{S}_2 & & +a_3\bar{E} \sim 0, \end{array} \tag{5.2.1}$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

5.3. Cases 1^o and 3^o. Put $\mathscr{M} := |2A|$ and let $P \in X$ be a (unique) point of index 9 (resp. 11) in the case 1^o (resp. 3^o). Then $\mathscr{M} \sim -mK_X$ near P, where $m = 7$ (resp. $m = 3$) in the case 1^o (resp. 3^o). Hence, $c \le 1/m$ (see 2.4.5). Thus $\beta_2 \ge m\alpha$ and $\beta_1 \ge \beta_2/2 \ge m\alpha/2$. In particular,

$$a_1 \ge 8\beta_1 - \alpha \ge (4m-1)\alpha, \quad a_2 = 4\beta_2 - \alpha \ge (4m-1)\alpha.$$

5.3.1. Claim. *The contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational.*

Proof. Assume that $\bar{f}$ is a contraction of fiber type. The divisors $\bar{S}_2$ and $\bar{S}_1$ are $\bar{f}$-vertical by (5.2.1). In particular, $\bar{S}_2 \sim 2\bar{S}_1$. Since $\dim |\bar{S}_2| = 2$ and $\bar{S}_2$ is irreducible, $\hat{X}$ is not a curve. By 2.5 we have $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2,2)$ or $\mathrm{DP}_5^{\mathrm{A}_4}$. This contradicts Lemma 2.8.1. □

5.3.2. Claim. *$f(E)$ is a point of index > 1.*

Proof. Assume that $f(E)$ is either a curve or Gorenstein point. Then all the numbers α and β_l are integers. We have $a_2 \ge 11$ and $\hat{q} \ge 4s_2 + a_2 \ge 15$. In this case, $|\Theta| = \varnothing$ and $\dim |2\Theta| \le 0$ (see Theorem 1.2). Therefore, $s_2 \ge 3$ and $\hat{q} > 19$, a contradiction. □

5.3.3. Thus we may assume that the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational and $f(E)$ is a point of index $r > 1$. One can see from Table 5.1 that $f(E)$ is a cyclic quotient singularity. In particular, $\alpha = 1/r$ [Kaw96]. Since $\alpha < 1$, we have $\mathrm{g}(\hat{X}) \geq \mathrm{g}(X) = 23$ by Lemma 2.6.2. By Theorem 1.2 and (i) of Theorem 1.3 we also have $\hat{q} \leq 8$. By (5.2.1) we can write

$$\hat{q} = 8s_1 + a_1 e = 4s_2 + a_2 e = 2s_3 + s_2 + a_3 e. \tag{5.3.4}$$

Since a_1, $a_2 \geq 1$, we have $s_1 = 0$, $s_2 = 1$, and $\hat{q} \geq 5$. By Lemma 2.6.3 the group $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free. By Proposition 2.9 $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^3, 2)$. Therefore, $1 = a_2 = 4\beta_2 - 1/r = 3\beta_2$, $\beta_2 = 1/3$, $r = 3$, and we are in the case 1^o. This contradicts $\beta_2 \geq m\alpha = 7/3$.

5.4. Case 2^o**.** Put $\mathscr{M} := |3A|$ and let $P \in X$ be a (unique) point of index 11. Then $\mathscr{M} \sim -10K_X$ near P. Then $c \leq 1/10$ (see 2.4.5). Thus $\beta_3 \geq 10\alpha$ and $\beta_1 \geq 10\alpha/3$. Further,

$$a_1 \geq 77\alpha/3, \quad a_3 \geq 19\alpha + \beta_2 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad a_1 \geq 3, \quad a_3 \geq 2.$$

5.4.1. Claim. *The contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational.*

Proof. Assume that $\bar{f}$ is a contraction of fiber type. Since $a_1 \geq 3$, $\hat{X}$ is a curve and $\bar{f}$ is a generically $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle. On the other hand, $\bar{S}_3$ is $\bar{f}$-vertical by (5.2.1) and because $a_3 \geq 2$. Since $\dim |\bar{S}_3| = 3$ and $\bar{S}_3$ is irreducible, we get a contradiction. □

5.4.2. Claim. *$f(E)$ is a point of index > 1.*

Proof. Assume that $f(E)$ is either a curve or Gorenstein point. Then all the numbers α and β_l are integers. We have $a_3 \geq 19\alpha \geq 19$. Thus, $\hat{q} \geq 2s_3 + a_3 > 19$, a contradiction. □

5.4.3. Thus we may assume that the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational and $f(E) \in X$ is a a cyclic quotient singularity of index $r = 3$, 5 or 11 (see Table 5.1). In particular, $\alpha = 1/r$ [Kaw96]. Hence, $\mathrm{g}(\hat{X}) \geq \mathrm{g}(X) = 23$ by Lemma 2.6.2. Consider the equality (5.3.4). By Theorem 1.2 and (i) of Theorem 1.3 we have $\hat{q} \leq 8$. Note that $\beta_2 \geq 1/r$ (because S_2 cannot be Cartier at $f(E)$). Hence, $a_2 = 4\beta_2 - 1/r > 0$. By (5.3.4) we have $s_1 = 0$, $s_2 = 1$, and $\hat{q} \geq 5$. By Lemma 2.6.3 the group $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free and $e = 1$. Since $s_2 = 1$, $\dim |\Theta| \geq \dim |2A| \geq 1$. By Proposition 2.9 we have $s_3 \geq 2$, so $\hat{q} \geq 7$. Again applying Proposition 2.9 we get $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$. Hence, $7 = \hat{q} = a_1 = 8\beta_1 - 1/r$ and so $r\beta_1 = (7r + 1)/8$. One can see that this number is not integral for $r = 3$, 5 and 11, a contradiction.

5.5. Case 4^o**.** Take $\mathscr{M} := |5A|$. Near the point of index 7 we have $\mathscr{M} \sim -5K_X$. Then in Lemma 2.4.5 we have $m = 5$, so $\beta_5 \geq 5\alpha$ and $\beta_1 \geq \alpha$. Thus $a_1 \geq 7\alpha$.

5.5.1. Claim. *$f(E)$ is a point of index $r > 1$.*

Proof. Assume that $f(E)$ is either a curve or Gorenstein point. Then $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_1 \geq 7$. Therefore, the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational and $\hat{q} \geq 8s_1 + 7e$. If $s_1 > 0$, then $\hat{q} \geq 17$, $|\Theta| = \varnothing$, $e \geq 2$, and $\hat{q} > 19$, a contradiction. Hence, $s_1 = 0$. Then by Lemma 2.6.3 the group $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free and $e = 1$. Moreover, $\hat{q} = a_1 = 8\beta_1 - \alpha = 8\alpha + 7(\beta_1 - \alpha)$. Since the last term is non-negative, by (1.1.1) we get $\hat{q} = 7$ and $\alpha = 1$. Similar to (5.2.1) write

$$K_{\bar{X}} + \bar{S}_3 + \bar{S}_5 + a_5\bar{E} \sim 0, \quad a_5 = \beta_3 + \beta_5 - \alpha. \tag{5.5.2}$$

Thus $a_5 \geq 4$ and $s_3 + s_5 \leq \hat{q} - a_5 \leq 3$. By Proposition 2.9 we have $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$, so $\dim|3\Theta| = 6$. This contradicts $\dim|5A| = 7$. □

5.5.3. Subcase: $r = 7$. Then $\alpha = 1/7$ and $A \sim -K_X$ near $f(E)$. Hence, for $l = 1, \dots, 6$, we have $\beta_l = l/7 + m_l$, where m_l is a non-negative integer. This gives us $a_1 = 8\beta_1 - \alpha = 1 + 8m_1$. If $\bar{f}$ is not birational, then restricting (5.2.1) to a general fiber V one can see that $\bar{S}_1$ is $\bar{f}$-vertical, $a_1 = 1$, and $-K_V = \bar{E}|_V$. In particular, $\hat{X} \not\simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{P}(1^2, 2)$. By (5.5.2) we have $a_5 = 1 + m_3 + m_5$, so $a_5 = 1$ and the divisors $\bar{S}_3$ and $\bar{S}_5$ are $\bar{f}$-vertical. This contradicts Lemma 2.8.1.

Hence the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. Using (5.2.1) we write

$$\hat{q} = e + 8(s_1 + m_1 e) = e + 4(s_2 + m_2 e)$$

Since $s_2 > 0$, we have $\hat{q} \geq 9$. Since $\alpha < 1$, we have $\mathrm{g}(\hat{X}) \geq \mathrm{g}(X) = 19$ by Lemma 2.6.2. From Theorem 1.2 and (i) of Theorem 1.3 we conclude that $\hat{q} = 11$ and $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5)$. Further, $e = 3$ and (5.5.2) gives us

$$11 = \hat{q} = s_3 + s_5 + a_5 e = 3 + s_3 + s_5 + 3m_3 + 3m_5, \quad s_3 + s_5 \leq 8.$$

On the other hand, $\dim|\hat{S}_3| \geq 2$ and $\dim|\hat{S}_5| \geq 7$, so $s_3 \geq 4$ and $s_5 \geq 6$, a contradiction.

5.5.4. Subcase: $r = 11$. Then $\alpha = 1/11$ and $A \sim -7K_X$ near $f(E)$. Thus $\beta_1 = 7/11 + m_1$ and $\beta_5 = 2/11 + m_5$, where the m_i are non-negative integers. Since $\beta_5 \geq 5\alpha$, $m_5 \geq 1$. Further, $a_1 = 5 + 8m_1$, $a_5 = 1 + m_1 + m_5$. Hence, the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational and

$$\hat{q} = 5e + 8(s_1 + m_1 e) = e + s_3 + s_5 + m_3 e + m_5 e.$$

By (1.1.1) either $\hat{q} = 13$ or $\hat{q} = 5$. Since $\mathrm{g}(\hat{X}) \geq \mathrm{g}(X) = 19$, we have $\hat{q} = 5$. Thus $s_1 = 0$, $e = 1$, $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free, and $s_3 + s_5 \leq 3$. Therefore, $s_3 = 1$. By Proposition 2.9 $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^3, 2)$. In this case, $\dim|2\Theta| = 6$. This contradicts $s_5 \leq 2$ because $\dim|5A| = 7$.

5.6. Case 7^o. Put $\mathscr{M} := |3A|$. Near the point of index 9 we have $A \sim -8K_X$, $\mathscr{M} \sim -6K_X$. Thus $c \leq 1/6$ and $\beta_3 \geq 6\alpha$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\bar{X}} &+ 4\bar{S}_2 && + a_2\bar{E} \sim 0, \\ K_{\bar{X}} &+ 2\bar{S}_3 + S_2 && + a_3\bar{E} \sim 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6.1}$$

$$a_3 = 2\beta_3 + \beta_2 - \alpha \geq 11\alpha + \beta_2.$$

If $\alpha \geq 1$, then $a_3 \geq 11$. Hence the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. In this case, $\hat{q} \geq 11e + 2s_3 \geq 13$, $s_3 \geq 2$, $\hat{q} \geq 17$, $e > 1$, and $q > 19$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\alpha < 1$ and $P := f(E)$ is a point of index $r > 1$.

5.6.2. Subcase $r = 5$. Then near P we have $-K_X \sim 3A$ and $A \sim -2K_X$. Hence, $\beta_2 = 4/5 + m_2$ and $\beta_3 = 1/5 + m_3$, where $m_3 \geq 1$. We get

$$a_2 = 3 + 4m_2, \qquad a_3 = 1 + 2m_3 + m_2.$$

If $\bar{f}$ is not birational, then it is a generically $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle and $a_2 = a_3 = 3$. In this case, $\bar{S}_3$ is a general fiber. On the other hand, $\bar{S}_2$ is $\bar{f}$-vertical. Hence, $m\bar{S}_2$ is also a scheme fiber for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. This implies $\bar{S}_3 \sim m\bar{S}_2$ and $S_3 \sim mS_2$, a contradiction. Therefore, the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. Then

$$\hat{q} = 4(s_2 + m_2e) + 3e = 2(s_3 + m_3e) + s_2 + m_2e + e \geq 5,$$

$$3(s_2 + m_2e) = 2(s_3 + m_3e - e).$$

Write $s_2 + m_2e = 2k$, $s_3 + m_3e - e = 3k$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then $\hat{q} = 8k + 3e \geq 11$ and $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free. By Lemma 2.6.3 $F \sim eA$, so $e > 1$, $\hat{q} \geq 17$, $s_3 \geq 5$, $k \geq 2$, and $q > 19$, a contradiction.

5.6.3. Subcase $r = 3$ and $\alpha = 1/3$. Then near P we have $-K_X \sim 2A$ and $A \sim -2K_X$. Hence, $\beta_2 = 1/3 + m_2$ and $\beta_3 = m_3$, where $m_3 \geq 2$. We get

$$a_2 = 1 + 4m_2, \qquad a_3 = 2m_3 + m_2 \geq 4.$$

Therefore, the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. In this situation,

$$19 \geq \hat{q} = 4(s_2 + m_2e) + e = 2(s_3 + m_3e) + s_2 + m_2e \geq 6,$$

Hence, $s_2 + m_2e \leq 4$. If $s_2 + m_2e$, then so $\hat{q}$ is. In this case, $\hat{q} \leq 8$ and so $s_2 + m_2e = 0$. Then $e = \hat{q} \geq 6$ and $\hat{q} = 2(s_3 + m_3e) > 19$, a contradiction. If $s_2 + m_2e = 1$, then $\hat{q}$ is odd, $e = \hat{q} - 4 \geq 3$, $\hat{q} = 2(s_3 + m_3e) + 1 \geq 15$, $s_3 \geq 5$, and $\hat{q} > 19$. Again we get a contradiction.

Finally, assume that $s_2 + m_2e = 3$. Then $\hat{q} \geq 13$ and $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free. Since $|A| = \varnothing$, we have > 1 by Lemma 2.6.3. Hence, $\hat{q} \geq 17$ and so $s_3 \geq 5$, $\hat{q} > 19$, a contradiction.

5.6.4. Subcase $r = 3$ and $\alpha = 2/3$. Then near P we have $-K_X \sim 2A$ and $A \sim -2K_X$. Hence, $\beta_2 = 2/3 + m_2$ and $\beta_3 = m_3$, where $m_3 \geq 4$. We get

$$a_2 = 2 + 4m_2, \qquad a_3 = 2m_3 + m_2 \geq 8.$$

Therefore, the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. Then

$$19 \geq \hat{q} = 4(s_2 + m_2e) + 2e = 2(s_3 + m_3e) + s_2 + m_2e \geq 6.$$

Hence $\hat{q}$ is even and $\hat{q} \geq 10$. This contradicts (1.1.1).

5.6.5. Subcase $r = 9$. Then $\beta_2 = 7/9 + m_2$, $\beta_3 = 6/9 + m_3$, $a_2 = 3 + 4m_2$, $a_3 = 2 + 2m_3 + m_2$. If $\bar f$ is not birational, then it is a generically $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle, $a_2 = 3$, $m_2 = 0$, $m_3 = 0$, $a_3 = 2$, $\bar S_3$ is a general fiber, and $\bar S_2$ is $\bar f$-vertical. Hence, $\mathscr{O}_{\bar S_3}(a_2 \bar E) = \mathscr{O}_{\bar S_3}(a_3 \bar E) = \mathscr{O}_{\bar S_3}(-K_{\bar X})$, a contradiction.

Therefore, $\bar f$ is not birational and so

$$\hat q = 4(s_2 + m_2 e) + 3e = 2(s_3 + m_3 e) + s_2 + m_2 e + 2e.$$

If $s_2 + m_2 e \ge 2$, then $\hat q \ge 11$, the group $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat X)$ is torsion free, and $e \ge 2$ by Lemma 2.6.3. In this case, both $s_2 + m_2 e$ and e are odd ≥ 3. Hence, $\hat q > 19$, a contradiction. Therefore, $s_2 + m_2 e \le 1$.

If $s_2 + m_2 e = 0$, then

$$\hat q = 3e = 2(s_3 + m_3 e) + 2e, \quad \hat q = 6, \quad e = 2, \quad s_3 = 1, \quad F = S_2.$$

By Lemma 2.6.3 the group $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat X)$ is torsion free. Using computer search with $\dim|\Theta| \ge 1$ and (iv) of Theorem 1.3 we get $X \simeq X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3, 5)$. Thus we have $K_{\bar X} + 4\bar S_2 + 3\bar E \sim 0$ and $K_{\bar X} + 2\bar S_3 + \bar S_2 + 2\bar E \sim 0$. Further, $K_{\bar X} + 2\bar S_4 + a_4 \bar E \sim 0$, where $a_4 = 2\beta_4 - \alpha$, $\beta_4 = 5/9 + m_4$, and $a_4 = 1 + 2m_4$. We get $\hat q = 6 = 2s_4 + 2em_4 + e = 2s_4 + 4m_4 + 2$, $s_4 = 2$. Comparing dimensions of linear systems we see that $|\Theta|$ is the birational transform of $|3A|$ and $|2\Theta|$ is the birational transform of $|4A|$. Write

$$K_{\bar X} + 6\bar S_3 = \bar f^*(K_{\hat X} + 6\hat S_3) + (b - 6\gamma_3)\bar F,$$
$$K_{\bar X} + 3\bar S_4 = \bar f^*(K_{\hat X} + 3\hat S_4) + (b - 3\gamma_4)\bar F.$$

Since $F \sim 2A$, we have $b = 5 + 6\gamma_3 \ge 5$ and $b = 2 + 3\gamma_4$. Hence, $Q := \bar f(\bar F)$ is a Gorenstein point. Assume that $\gamma_3 = 0$. Let $\hat S_3' \in |\Theta|$ be a (unique) divisor passing through Q. Then $\hat S_3' \ne \hat E$ (because $e > 1$) and

$$K_{\bar X} + 6\bar S_3' = \bar f^*(K_{\hat X} + 6\hat S_3') + (b - 6\gamma_3')\bar F.$$

where $\gamma_3' > 0$. Let $S_3' \sim mA$. Then $m > 2$ (because $|A| = \varnothing$ and $S_3' \ne S_2 = F$). So, $-8 + 6m = 2(b - 6\gamma_3')$ and $m = 3 - 2\gamma_3' \le 1$, a contradiction. We get $\gamma_3 > 0$ and $\gamma_4 = 1 + 2\gamma_3 > 0$. Therefore, $Q \in \mathrm{Bs}\,|\Theta| \cap \mathrm{Bs}\,|2\Theta|$ and so Q is the point of index 5, a contradiction.

If $s_2 + m_2 e = 1$, then $\hat q = 4 + 3e = 2(s_3 + m_3 e) + 2e + 1$. If furthermore $e \ge 2$, then $s_2 = 1$, $\hat q \ne 19$, $\hat q = 13$, $e = 3$, $s_3 + m_3 e = 3$, and $s_3 = 3$. Moreover, the linear system $|3\Theta|$ is the birational transform of $|3A|$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.6.3 the map $X \dashrightarrow \hat X$ contracts a divisor $F \sim 3A$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\hat q = 7$, $e = 1$, and $s_3 + m_3 = 2$. Since $|A| = \varnothing$, by Lemma 2.6.3 we have $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat X) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n$, where $n > 1$. If $s_2 = 1$, then $\hat E \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \hat S_2$. In this situation, by [Pro10, Th. 1.4] $\hat X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$ and $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $s_2 = 0$, i.e., $S_2 = F$. By Lemma 6.1 we have $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(\hat X) = \mathrm{qW}(\hat X)$. Since $\dim|-K_{\hat X}| \ge 11$ and $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat X) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n$, using computer search and (iii) of Theorem 1.3 we get only one possibility:

$\mathbf{B}(\hat{X}) = (2,2,3,12)$, $A^3 = 1/12$. In this case, $n = 2$ or 4 [Pro10, Prop. 2.9], so there is an étale in codimension two cover $\pi : X' \to \hat{X}$ of degree n such that $K'_X = \pi^* K_X$. Moreover, X' is a Fano threefold with terminal singularities, $\mathrm{qW}(X') = \mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X') = 7$, and $\mathbf{B}(X') = (3,3,6)$ or $(3,3,3,3,3)$. In particular, the Gorenstein index of X' is strictly smaller than its Fano index. According to [San96] there is only one such a Fano threefold whose Gorenstein index equals to 6: $\mathbb{P}(1,1,2,3)$. Then X' does not have any point of index 6, a contradiction. For $\mathbf{B}(X') = (3,3,3,3,3)$ we have two possibilities: $X'_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^2,2,3^2)$ and $X'_4 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^3,2,3)$ in both cases $\mathrm{qW}(X') = 4$. Again this is a contradiction.

5.7. Case 5^o. We show that in this case X is a hypersurface of degree 6 in $\mathbb{P}(1,2,3^2,5)$. Similar to 3.2 it is sufficient to prove the following.

5.7.1. Lemma. *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with* $\mathrm{qW}(X) = 8$ *and* $\mathbf{B}(X) = (3,3,5)$. *Then the pair $(X, |3A|)$ is canonical.*

Proof. Put $\mathscr{M} := |3A|$ and assume that $(X, \mathscr{M})$ is not canonical. Then $\beta_3 > \alpha$, $\beta_1 \ge \frac{1}{3}\beta_3 > \frac{1}{3}\alpha$, and so

$$(5.7.2) \qquad a_1 = 8\beta_1 - \alpha > \frac{5}{3}\alpha > 0, \qquad a_3 = 2\beta_3 + \beta_2 - \alpha > \alpha + \beta_2 > 0.$$

5.7.3. Claim. *$f(E)$ is a point of index $r > 1$.*

Proof. Assume that $f(E)$ is either a curve or Gorenstein point. Then as in (5.7.2) we have $a_1 \ge 5\beta_1 + \beta_3 - \alpha \ge 5\beta_1 + 1 \ge 6$ and $a_3 \ge \beta_3 + \beta_2 + 1 \ge 3$. Therefore, the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational and

$$\hat{q} = 2s_3 + s_2 + a_3 e = 8s_1 + a_1 e \ge 6.$$

If $\hat{q} \ge 9$, then $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ by Theorem 1.2 and using [Pro10, Table 3.6] we obtain successively $s_3 \ge 4$, $\hat{q} \ge 13$, $s_3 \ge 5$, $\hat{q} \ge 17$, $s_3 > 7$, and $\hat{q} > 19$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\hat{q} \le 8$. In this case, $s_1 = 0$, and $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 2.6.3. By Proposition 2.9 we have $\dim |\Theta| < 3$, so $s_3 > 1$ and $\hat{q} \ge 8$. Therefore, $\hat{q} = 8$, $s_3 \le 2$, and $s_2 = 1$. This contradicts Proposition 2.9. Thus $f(E)$ is a point of index $r = 3$ or 5. Then $\alpha < 1$ by Lemma 2.7. Since $\mathrm{g}(\hat{X}) \ge \mathrm{g}(X) = 17$, we have $\hat{q} \le 13$. □

5.7.4. Subcase $r = 5$. Then $\alpha = 1/5$ and $A \sim -2K_X$ near $f(E)$. So,

$$\beta_1 = 2/5 + m_1, \quad \beta_2 = 4/5 + m_2, \quad \beta_3 = 1/5 + m_3,$$

where m_i are non-negative integers and $m_3 \ge 1$ (cf. 5.5.3). Hence,

$$a_1 = 3 + 8m_1, \quad a_2 = 3 + 4m_2, \quad a_3 = 1 + m_2 + 2m_3.$$

If $\bar{f}$ is not birational, then $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and the divisors $\bar{S}_1$, $\bar{S}_2$, $\bar{S}_3$ are $\bar{f}$-vertical. This contradicts $\dim |\bar{S}_3| = 3$. Therefore, the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational.

We have

$$\hat{q} = 8s_1 + 3e + 8m_1e = 4s_2 + 3e + 4m_2e = 2s_3 + s_2 + e + m_2e + 2m_3e.$$

Hence either $s_1 = 0$ or $\hat{q} \geq 11$. In both cases $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ (see Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 2.6.3). Further, $\hat{q} \geq 7$ and $s_3 \geq 2$ by Proposition 2.9. If $e > 1$, then $\hat{q} \geq 11$, $s_3 \geq 4$, $\hat{q} \geq 17$, $s_3 > 7$, $\hat{q} > 19$, a contradiction. Hence, $e = 1$ and $\hat{q} \equiv 3 \mod 8$. This is possible only if $\hat{q} = 11$. Then

$$s_1 + m_1 = 1, \quad s_2 + m_2 = 2, \quad s_3 + m_3 = 4, \quad s_3 \leq 3.$$

This contradicts $\dim |3\Theta| \leq 2$.

5.7.5. Subcase $r = 3$. First, we assume that $\alpha = 1/3$. Then $A \sim -2K_X$ near $f(E)$. So,

$$\beta_1 = 2/3 + m_1, \quad \beta_2 = 1/3 + m_2, \quad \beta_3 = m_3,$$

where m_i are non-negative integers and $m_3 \geq 1$ (cf. 5.5.3). Hence,

$$a_1 = 5 + 8m_1, \quad a_2 = 1 + 4m_2, \quad a_3 = m_2 + 2m_3.$$

Since $a_1 > 3$, the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. We have

$$\hat{q} = 8s_1 + 5e + 8m_1e = 4s_2 + e + 4m_2e = 2s_3 + s_2 + m_2e + 2m_3e.$$

We have either $s_1 = 0$ or $\hat{q} \geq 13$. In both cases $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ (see Lemma 2.6.3). Further, $\hat{q} \geq 5$ and $s_3 \geq 2$ by Proposition 2.9. Hence, $\hat{q} \geq 7$. If $e > 1$, then $\hat{q} \geq 11$, $s_2 \geq 2$, $s_3 \geq 4$, and $\hat{q} \geq 14$, a contradiction. Hence, $e = 1$ and $\hat{q} \equiv 5 \mod 8$. This is possible only if $\hat{q} = 13$. Then

$$s_1 + m_1 = 1, \quad s_2 + m_2 = 3, \quad s_3 + m_3 = 5, \quad s_3 \leq 4.$$

This contradicts $\dim |4\Theta| \leq 2$.

Finally we consider the case where $\alpha \geq 2/3$. Then $P := f(E)$ is a point of index 3 with basket $(3, 3)$. By Lemma 2.7 we have $\alpha = 2/3$. Further, $A \sim -2K_X$ and $3A \sim 0$ near $f(E)$. So, $\beta_1 = 4/3 + m_1$, where m_1 is a non-negative integer, and $a_1 = 10 + 8m_1 > 3$. Hence, the morphism $\bar{f}$ is birational. In this case,

$$13 \geq \hat{q} = 10e + 8s_1 + 8m_1e.$$

The only possibility is $\hat{q} = 10$. This contradicts (1.1.1).

□

5.8. Case 6^o. We will show that in this case $X \simeq X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5, 7)$. Put $\mathscr{M} := |2A|$. Near the point of index 7 we have $A \sim -K_X$ and $\mathscr{M} \sim -2K_X$. Thus $c \leq 1/2$, $\beta_2 \geq 2\alpha$, $\beta_1 \geq \alpha$, and

$$a_1 = 8\beta_1 - \alpha \geq 7\alpha, \quad a_2 = 4\beta_2 - \alpha \geq 7\alpha. \tag{5.8.1}$$

If $\alpha \geq 1$, then $a_1, a_2 \geq 7$, $\bar{f}$ is birational, and $\hat{q} \geq 4s_2 + 7\alpha \geq 11$. Hence, $s_2 \geq 2$, $\hat{q} \geq 17$, $s_2 \geq 5$, and $\hat{q} > 19$, a contradiction. Thus $\alpha < 1$. Therefore, $f(E)$ is a point of index $r = 3$ or 7, and $\alpha = 1/r$.

5.8.2. Subcase $r = 3$. Then $\beta_2 = 1/3 + m_2$, where $m_2 \geq 1$. By (5.8.1) we have $a_2 = 1 + 4m_2$. Hence the contraction $\bar f$ is birational. Then

$$\hat q = e + 4(s_2 + m_2 e).$$

Since $s_2 > 0$, we have $\hat q \geq 9$ and so $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. We get successively $s_2 \geq 2$, $\hat q \geq 13$, $s_2 \geq 3$, $\hat q \geq 17$, $s_2 \geq 5$, and $\hat q > 19$, a contradiction.

5.8.3. Subcase $r = 7$. Then, as above, $\beta_k = k/7 + m_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, 6$, so $a_1 = 1 + 8m_1$. If $\bar f$ is not birational, then $\bar S_1$ is $\bar f$-vertical. Restricting (5.2.1) to a general fiber V we get $-K_V \sim \bar E|_V$ and $a_1 = 1$. Then using relations similar to (5.2.1) we get that in this situation $\bar S_k$ is $\bar f$-vertical for $k = 1, \dots, 6$. Since $\dim |\bar S_3| = 2$, $\hat X$ is not a curve. Since $\dim |\bar S_1| = 0$, $\hat X$ is either $\mathbb{P}(1,2,3)$ of $\mathrm{DP}_5^{\mathrm{A}_4}$. On the other hand, $\dim |\bar S_6| = 7$. This contradicts Lemma 2.8.1. Hence the contraction $\bar f$ is birational and then

$$\hat q = e + 8(s_1 + m_1 e) = e + 4(s_2 + m_2 e), \quad 2(s_1 + m_1 e) = s_2 + m_2 e \geq s_2 > 0.$$

Hence, $\hat q \geq 9$ and $s_2 \geq 2$. If $\hat q \geq 13$, then $s_2 \geq 3$, $\hat q \geq 17$, $s_2 \geq 5$, and $\hat q > 19$, a contradiction. Thus $\hat q \leq 11$ and so

$$s_1 + m_1 e = 1, \quad \hat q = e + 8, \quad s_2 + m_2 e = 2, \quad s_2 = 2, \quad m_2 = 0.$$

Assume that $s_1 = 0$, i.e., $\bar F = \bar S_1$. Then $e = m_1 = 1$ by Lemma 2.6.3. So, $\hat q = 9$, and $\hat X \simeq X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,4,5)$ by Theorem 1.3, (i). Recall that $c = \alpha/\beta_2 = 1/2$. By our construction (2.4.2) the pair $(\hat X, \frac{1}{2}\hat{\mathscr{M}})$ is canonical, where $\hat{\mathscr{M}} \subset |2\Theta|$. On the other hand, near the point $\hat P_5 \in \hat X$ of index 5 we have $\hat{\mathscr{M}} \sim -3K_{\hat X}$. By Lemma 2.4.5 we have $\mathrm{ct}(\hat X, \hat{\mathscr{M}}) \leq 1/3$, a contradiction.

Therefore, $s_1 = 1$. Then $e > 1$. So $\hat q = 11$, $e = 3$, and $\hat X \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,5)$ by [Pro10]. Similar to (5.2.1) we get $s_k = k$ for $k = 1, \dots, 6$. Since $\dim |k\Theta| = \dim |kA|$, the linear system $|k\Theta|$ is the birational transform of $|kA|$ for $k = 1, \dots, 6$. Write

$$K_{\bar X} + \frac{11}{k}\bar S_k \equiv \bar f^* \left(K_{\hat X} + \frac{11}{k}\hat S_k \right) + \left(b - \frac{11}{k}\gamma_k \right) \bar F, \tag{5.8.4}$$

where $K_{\hat X} + \frac{11}{k}\hat S_k \equiv 0$. Note that $F \sim 3A$ by Lemma 2.6.3. Pushing (5.8.4) down to X we get

$$3 = 3\left(b - \frac{11\gamma_k}{k} \right), \quad b = 1 + \frac{11\gamma_k}{k} \geq 1.$$

Since $\hat X = \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,5)$ has only cyclic quotient singularities, $\bar f(\bar F)$ is either a curve or a smooth point [Kaw96]. On the other hand, $|6\Theta|$ is base point free outside of the point of index 5. Therefore, $\gamma_6 = 0$, $b = 1$, and $C := \bar f(\bar F)$ is a curve. Recall that $\hat E \in |\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,2,3,5)}(3)|$ and so $\hat E \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,2,5)$. Since C is contained into the smooth locus of $\hat X$ [Kaw96], the curve C is cut out on

$\hat{E}$ by a divisor $\hat{S} \in |\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,2,3,5)}(l)|$, where l is divisible by 10. On the other hand, $\bar{E} \simeq \hat{E}$ is covered by a family of curves $L_\lambda \in |\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,2,5)}(2)|$ and

$$0 \le -K_{\bar{X}} \cdot L_\lambda = -K_{\hat{X}} \cdot \bar{f}(L_\lambda) - \bar{F} \cdot L_\lambda = \frac{11}{5} - \frac{l}{5}.$$

Hence, $l = 10$.

Further, the restriction $-K_{\bar{X}}|_{\bar{E}} = \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,2,5)}(1)$ is ample. Note that

$$-K_{\bar{X}} \equiv \frac{11}{3}\bar{E} + \frac{8}{3}\bar{F} \equiv \frac{11}{6}\bar{S}_6 - \bar{F}.$$

Since the only base point of $|\hat{S}_6| = |6\Theta|$ is the point of index 5, the divisor $-K_{\bar{X}}$ is ample. Therefore, the map $\bar{X} \dashrightarrow \tilde{X}$ is a composition of flips with respect to K (or an isomorphism). On the other hand, $\bar{X}$ and $\tilde{X}$ have the same collections of non-Gorenstein singularities: $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$, $\frac{1}{3}(1,1,2)$, and $\frac{1}{5}(1,2,3)$. This means that the map $\bar{X} \dashrightarrow \tilde{X}$ does not change Shokurov's difficulty [Sho85]. Hence $\bar{X} \dashrightarrow \tilde{X}$ is an isomorphism. Since $\hat{S}_1 \cdot C = \hat{S}_1 \cdot \hat{E} \cdot \hat{S}_{10} = 1$, the intersection $\hat{S}_1 \cap C$ is a single smooth point, say $\hat{P}$. The diagram (2.4.2) induces the following

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \bar{S}_1 \arrow[dl, "h"'] \arrow[dr, "\bar{h}"] & \\ S_1 & & \hat{S}_1 \end{tikzcd}$$

where $\hat{S}_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}(2,3,5)$, $\bar{h}$ is the blowup of $\hat{P}$, and h is the contraction of the proper transform of the curve $\hat{S}_1 \cap \hat{E}$ given by $x_3 = 0$. This shows that the surface S_1 is unique up to isomorphism. On the other hand, taking $X = X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,5,7)$ we get the same S_1. Therefore, for any choice of X of type 6^o the surface $S_1 \in |A|$ is isomorphic to a general weighted hypersurface of degree 10 in $\mathbb{P}(2,3,5,7)$. Then by Theorem 3.3 we conclude that $X \simeq X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,5,7)$.

5.9. Lemma. *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with* $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 8$. *Assume that the torsion part of* $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ *is non-trivial. Then* $\mathrm{g}(X) \le 8$.

Proof. Similar to Lemma 4.4. □

6. Case $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 7$

6.1. Lemma. *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with* $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 7$. *Then* $\mathrm{qW}(X) = 7$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) \ne \mathrm{qW}(X)$. Then there is a 7-torsion element $\Xi \in \mathrm{Cl}(X)$ [Pro10, Lemma 3.2 (iv)]. Let $\mathbf{B}^{\Xi} \subset \mathbf{B}(X)$ is the subbasket of points where Ξ is not Cartier. According to [Pro10, Prop. 2.9] $\mathbf{B}^{\Xi} =$

$(7,7,7)$. Kawamata's condition $\sum_{P\in\mathbf{B}(X)}(r_P-1/r_P)<24$ gives us that $\mathbf{B}(X)\setminus\mathbf{B}^{\Xi}=\varnothing$, (2), $(2,2)$, or (3). The element Ξ defines a cyclic étale in codimension two cover $\pi: X'\to X$ of degree 7 such that X' is a Fano threefold with terminal singularities and $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X')$ is divisible by 7. On the other hand, X' is Gorenstein at points $\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{B}^{\Xi})$. Hence the Gorenstein index of X' is at most 3. This contradicts [San96]. $\square$

6.2. Lemma. *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X)=7$ and let $-K_X=7A$. Assume either $\mathrm{g}(X)>17$ or $\mathrm{g}(X)=17$ and $A^3=1/10$. Then the group $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free and we have one of the following cases:*

	$\mathbf{B}$	A^3	$\dim\lvert kA\rvert$						
			$\lvert A\rvert$	$\lvert 2A\rvert$	$\lvert 3A\rvert$	$\lvert 4A\rvert$	$\lvert 5A\rvert$	$\lvert 6A\rvert$	$\lvert -K\rvert$
1^o	$(2,3)$	$1/6$	1	3	6	10	15	22	30
2^o	$(2,2,5,9)$	$7/45$	0	2	4	8	13	19	27
3^o	$(2,2,8)$	$1/8$	0	2	4	7	11	16	22
4^o	$(2,3,6,8)$	$1/8$	0	1	3	6	10	16	22
5^o	$(2,3,5,9)$	$11/90$	0	1	3	6	10	15	21
6^o	$(2,3,4,10)$	$7/60$	0	1	3	6	9	14	20
7^o	$(2,2,2,5)$	$1/10$	0	2	3	6	9	13	18

6.3. Now let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X)=7$. Assume either $\mathrm{g}(X)>20$ or $\mathrm{g}(X)=17$ and $A^3=1/10$. By Lemma 6.1 $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X)=\mathrm{qW}(X)$. Thus X is described in Lemma 6.2 and $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free. The case 1^o was considered in [San96] and [Pro10]. We will show that cases 2^o – 6^o do not occur and in the case 7^o we have $X\simeq X_6\subset\mathbb{P}(1,2^2,3,5)$. Write

$$\begin{array}{lllll} K_{\bar{X}} & +7\bar{S}_1 & & +a_1\bar{E} & \sim 0, \\ K_{\bar{X}} & +\bar{S}_1 & +3\bar{S}_2 & +a_2\bar{E} & \sim 0. \end{array} \tag{6.3.1}$$

where a_1, a_2 are some integers.

6.4. First we consider cases 2^o – 6^o. Put $\mathscr{M}:=|2A|$. Let $P\in X$ be a point of index $r'>0$, where we take r' as follows:

$$\begin{array}{r|ccccc} \text{No.} & 2^o & 3^o & 4^o & 5^o & 6^o \\ r' & 9 & 8 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\ m & 8 & 6 & 6 & 8 & 6 \end{array} \tag{6.4.1}$$

Here m is an integer such that $0 \le m < r'$ and $\mathscr{M} \sim -mK_X$ near P. Then $c \le 1/m$ (see 2.4.5), so $\beta_2 \ge m\alpha$ and $\beta_1 \ge \beta_2/2 \ge m\alpha/2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 7\beta_1 - \alpha, \\ a_2 &= \beta_1 + 3\beta_2 - \alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{6.4.2}$$

In particular,

$$a_1, a_2 \ge (7m/2 - 1)\alpha \ge 20\alpha \ge 2. \tag{6.4.3}$$

6.4.4. Claim. *We have $\alpha < 1/3$. Moreover, $f(E)$ is a cyclic quotient singularity of index $r > 3$ and $\alpha = 1/r$.*

Proof. If $\alpha \ge 1/3$, then $a_2 \ge 7$. In this case, the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational and $\hat{q} \ge 3s_2 + 7$. So, $\hat{q} \ge 11$. Since $\dim|\Theta| \le 0$, we get successively $s_2 \ge 2$, $\hat{q} \ge 13$, $s_2 \ge 3$, $\hat{q} \ge 17$, $s_2 \ge 5$, and $\hat{q} > 19$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\alpha < 1/3$. From Table 6.2 we infer that $f(E) \in X$ is a cyclic quotient singularity of index $r > 3$ and $\alpha = 1/r$ [Kaw96]. □

6.4.5. Claim. *If the morphism $\bar{f}$ is not birational, then it is a generically $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle. Moreover, $\bar{S}_2$ is a general fiber, $\bar{S}_2 \sim 2\bar{S}_1$, and $\mathscr{O}_{\bar{S}_2}(\bar{E}) = \mathscr{O}_{\bar{S}_2}(\bar{S}_3) = \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$. This is possible only in cases 4^o – 6^o.*

Proof. Assume that $\bar{f}$ is not birational. Since a_1, $a_2 > 0$, the divisors $\bar{S}_1$ and $\bar{S}_2$ are $\bar{f}$-vertical. Since $\dim|\bar{S}_1| = 0$, $\hat{X}$ is either $\mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathbb{P}(1,2,3)$ or $\mathrm{DP}_5^{\mathrm{A}_4}$. By Lemma 2.8.1 the divisor $\bar{S}_2$ is $\bar{f}$-horizontal. Similar to (6.3.1) write

$$K_{\bar{X}} + \bar{S}_1 + 2\bar{S}_3 + a_3\bar{E} \sim 0, \qquad a_3 = \beta_1 + 2\beta_3 - \alpha > 0.$$

Hence, $a_3 = 1$ and $\bar{f}$ is a generically $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle. Since $\bar{S}_2$ is irreducible, $\dim|\bar{S}_2| \le 1$. The rest is obvious. □

6.4.6. Claim. *Assume that X is of type 2^o, 3^o or 4^o. Then the morphism $\bar{f}$ is birational, X is of type 4^o, and $r = 6$ or 8. Moreover, $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,5)$, $\mathbb{P}(1^2,2,3)$ or $X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^2,2,3,5)$.*

Proof. If $\bar{f}$ is not birational, then we are in the case 4^o and a_1, $a_2 \le 3$. Hence, $a_1 = a_2 = 3$ by (6.4.3). By (6.4.2) we have $\alpha \le 3/20$, so $r = 8$ and $\alpha = 1/8$. Then $\beta_1 = m\alpha + m_1 = 7/8 + m_1$, where m_1 is a non-negative integer (cf. 5.5.3). Again by (6.4.2) we obtain $a_1 = 6 + 7m_1 \ge 6$, a contradiction.

Therefore, the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. In this case,

$$\hat{q} = 7s_1 + a_1 e = s_1 + 3s_2 + a_2 e \ge 6.$$

By Lemma 2.6.2 $\mathrm{g}(\hat{X}) \ge \mathrm{g}(X) \ge 21$. Hence, by Theorem 1.2 and (i)-(ii) of Theorem 1.3, we have either $6 \le \hat{q} \le 7$ or $\hat{q} = 11$ (and $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,5)$). Moreover, $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free by Lemma 2.3. If $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,5)$, then $s_2 \le 2$ and so $\dim|2A| \le |2\Theta| = 1$. Hence, we are in the case 4^o.

Assume that $6 \le \hat{q} \le 7$. Then $s_2 = 1$. If $\hat{q} = 7$ (resp. $\hat{q} = 6$), then $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$ (resp. $\hat{X} \simeq X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3, 5)$) by Proposition 2.9 (resp. by (iv) of Theorem 1.3). Hence, $\dim |2A| \le \dim |\Theta| = 1$ and we are again in the case 4^o. □

6.4.7. Subcase 4^o with $r = 6$. Then $\beta_1 = 1/6 + m_1$, and $\beta_2 = 2/6 + m_2$, where m_i are positive integers. We get

$$\hat{q} \ge a_1 = 7\beta_1 - \alpha = 1 + 7m_1 \ge 8, \quad a_2 = 1 + \beta_1 + 3\beta_2 - \alpha = 2 + m_1 + 3m_2.$$

Hence, $\hat{q} = 11$, $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5)$ by Claim 6.4.6, and $s_2 \ge 2$. Therefore,

$$11 = \hat{q} = 2e + s_1 + m_1 e + 3(s_2 + m_2 e) \ge 2 + m_1 + 3(s_2 + m_2) \ge 12,$$

a contradiction.

6.4.8. Subcase 4^o with $r = 8$. Then $\beta_1 = 7/8 + m_1$ and $\beta_2 = 6/8 + m_2$, where m_i are non-negative integers. We obtain

$$a_1 = 6 + 7m_1 \ge 6, \quad a_2 = 3 + m_1 + 3m_2.$$

So,

$$\hat{q} = 6e + 7(s_1 + m_1 e) = 3e + s_1 + m_1 e + 3(s_2 + m_2 e).$$

Since $\hat{q} \in \{6, 7, 11\}$, we have $s_1 = m_1 = 0$, $\hat{q} = 6$, and so $\hat{X} \simeq X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3, 5)$ by Claim 6.4.6. In particular, $\dim |\Theta| = 1$, $\dim |2\Theta| = 3$, $\dim |3\Theta| = 6$, and $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, $e = 1$ and $s_2 = 1$.

By our computations $\bar{S}_1$ is the $\bar{f}$-exceptional divisor, $a_1 = 6$, and $a_2 = 3$. By (2.6.1)

$$K_{\bar{X}} + 6\bar{S}_2 \sim \bar{f}^* \left(K_{\hat{X}} + 6\hat{S}_2 \right) + (b - 6\gamma_2)\, \bar{S}_1 \equiv (b - 6\gamma_2)\, \bar{S}_1.$$

Therefore,

$$b = 6\gamma_2 + 5 \ge 5.$$

In particular, $\bar{f}(\bar{S}_1)$ is a Gorenstein point. Similar to (6.3.1) we can write

$$\begin{array}{lllll} K_{\bar{X}} & +\bar{S}_3 & +4\bar{S}_1 & +a_3\bar{E} & \sim 0, \\ K_{\bar{X}} & +\bar{S}_4 & +3\bar{S}_1 & +a_4\bar{E} & \sim 0. \end{array} \tag{6.4.9}$$

Easy computation as above give us $a_3 \ge 4$ and $a_4 \ge 3$. Hence, $s_3 \le 2$ and $s_4 \le 3$. On the other hand, comparing Table 6.2, 4^o and Table 4.1, 2^o we see $s_3 = 2$, $a_3 = 4$, $s_4 = 3$, and $a_4 = 3$. Moreover, $\dim |3A| = \dim |2\Theta|$ and $\dim |4A| = \dim |3\Theta|$. Therefore, $|2\Theta|$ (resp. $|3\Theta|$) is the birational transform of $|3A|$ (resp. $|4A|$). Again using (2.6.1) we get

$$5 \le b = 3\gamma_3 + 2 = 2\gamma_4 + 1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \gamma_3,\ \gamma_4 > 0.$$

This means that $\bar{f}(\bar{S}_1)$ is contained into $\mathrm{Bs}\,|2\Theta|$ and $\mathrm{Bs}\,|3\Theta|$. The only point satisfying these conditions is the point of index 5, a contradiction.

6.4.10. Subcase 5^o with $r = 5$. Then $\beta_1 = 3/5 + m_1$ and $\beta_2 = 1/5 + m_2$, where m_i are non-negative integers and $m_2 \geq 2$. Further,

$$a_2 = \beta_1 + 3\beta_2 - \alpha = 1 + m_1 + 3m_2 \geq 7.$$

This implies that $\bar{f}$ is birational and

$$\hat{q} = e + s_1 + m_1 e + 3(s_2 + m_2 e) \geq 10.$$

Hence, $\hat{q} \geq 11$. In this case, $\operatorname{Cl}(\hat{X}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and $\dim|\Theta| \leq 0$, so $s_2 \geq 2$, $\hat{q} \geq 13$, $s_2 \geq 3$, $\hat{q} \geq 17$, $s_2 \geq 5$, and $\hat{q} > 19$, a contradiction.

6.4.11. Subcase 5^o with $r = 9$. Then $\beta_1 = 4/9 + m_1$, and $\beta_2 = 8/9 + m_2$, where m_i are non-negative integers. Further,

$$a_1 = 7\beta_1 - \alpha = 3 + 7m_1, \quad a_2 = \beta_1 + 3\beta_2 - \alpha = 3 + m_1 + 3m_2 \geq 3.$$

If $\bar{f}$ is birational, then

$$\hat{q} = 3e + 7(s_1 + m_1 e) = 3e + s_1 + m_1 e + 3(s_2 + m_2 e).$$

Then $2(s_1 + m_1 e) = s_2 + m_2 e > 0$. Since $\hat{q} \neq 10$, we have $\hat{q} \geq 13$. In this case, $19 \geq \operatorname{g}(\hat{X}) \geq \operatorname{g}(X) = 20$ by Lemma 2.6.2, a contradiction.

Finally assume that $\bar{f}$ is not birational. Then we are in the situation of Claim 6.4.5. Similar to (6.3.1) write

$$K_{\bar{X}} + \bar{S}_4 + 3\bar{S}_1 + a_4\bar{E} \sim 0, \quad a_4 = \beta_4 + 3\beta_1 - \alpha = 2 + m_4, \quad m_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Hence, $a_4 = 2$, $\bar{S}_4$ is $\bar{f}$-horizontal, and $\bar{S}_4 \sim \bar{E} + 4\bar{S}_1$. Moreover, $\mathscr{O}_{\bar{S}_1}(\bar{S}_3) = \mathscr{O}_{\bar{S}_1}(\bar{E}) = \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\bar{X}}(\bar{S}_4 - \bar{S}_2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\bar{X}}(\bar{S}_4) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\bar{S}_2}(\bar{S}_4) \longrightarrow 0.$$

we get $\dim H^0(\mathscr{O}_{\bar{X}}(\bar{S}_4 - \bar{S}_2)) \geq 7 - 3 = 4$. Therefore, $\dim|2A| = \dim|S_4 - S_2| \geq 3$, a contradiction.

6.4.12. Subcase 6^o with $r = 4$. Then $\beta_1 = 3/4 + m_1$, and $\beta_2 = 1/2 + m_2$, where m_i are non-negative integers and $m_2 \geq 1$. Further,

$$a_1 = 7\beta_1 - \alpha = 5 + 7m_1, \quad a_2 = \beta_1 + 3\beta_2 - \alpha = 2 + m_1 + 3m_2 \geq 5.$$

This immediately implies that $\bar{f}$ is birational and

$$\hat{q} = 5e + 7(s_1 + m_1 e) = 2e + s_1 + m_1 e + 3(s_2 + m_2 e) \geq 8.$$

If $s_1 + m_1 e > 0$, then $\hat{q} \geq 17$, $|\Theta| = \varnothing$, $e > 1$, $\hat{q} = 17$, $e = 2$, $s_1 + 2m_1 = 1$, and $s_1 = 1$, a contradiction. Hence, $s_1 = m_1 = 0$ and $\hat{q} = 5e$. This contradicts Theorem 1.2 (v).

6.4.13. Subcase 6^o with $r = 10$. Then $\beta_1 = 3/10 + m_1$, $\beta_2 = 6/10 + m_2$, and $\beta_3 = 9/10 + m_2$, where m_i are non-negative integers. Further, in (6.3.1) and (6.4.9) we have

$$a_1 = 2 + 7m_1, \quad a_2 = 2 + m_1 + 3m_2, \quad a_3 = 2 + m_1 + 2m_3, \quad m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Assume that $\bar{f}$ is not birational. Then $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 2$, and $\bar{S}_1$, $\bar{S}_2$, $\bar{S}_3$ are $\bar{f}$-vertical. Hence $\hat{X}$ is not a curve. This contradicts Lemma 2.8.1. Therefore, the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. Then

$$\hat{q}-2e = 7(s_1+m_1e) = s_1+m_1e+3(s_2+m_2e) = s_1+m_1e+2(s_3+m_3e) \geq 3.$$

If $s_1+m_1e = 0$, then $s_2+m_2e = 0$. This is impossible because $\dim|\hat{S}_2| > 0$. Since $\hat{q} \leq 19$ and $\hat{q} \neq 16$, we have $s_1 + m_1e = 1$ and $\hat{q} = 7 + 2e \geq 9$. In this case, $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Further, $s_3 + m_3e = 3$. On the other hand, since $\dim|3\Theta| \leq 2$, we have $s_3 \geq 4$, a contradiction.

6.5. Case 7^o. We show that in this case X is a hypersurface of degree 6 in $\mathbb{P}(1, 2^2, 3, 5)$. Similar to 3.2 it is sufficient to prove the following.

6.5.1. Lemma. *Let X be a Fano threefold with* $\mathrm{qW}(X) = 7$ *and* $\mathbf{B}(X) = (2, 2, 2, 5)$. *Then the pair* $(X, |2A|)$ *is canonical.*

Proof. Put $\mathscr{M} := |2A|$ and assume that $(X, \mathscr{M})$ is not canonical. In (2.4.4) we have $0 < a_0 = \beta_2 - \alpha$. Hence, $\beta_2 > \alpha$ and $\beta_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}\beta_2 > \frac{1}{2}\alpha$. Further,

$$a_1 = 7\beta_1 - \alpha > 5\beta_1 > 0, \quad a_2 = \beta_1 + 3\beta_2 - \alpha > \beta_1 + 2\beta_2 > 0. \tag{6.5.2}$$

If $\bar{f}$ is not birational, then $\bar{S}_1$ and $\bar{S}_2$ are $\bar{f}$-vertical. Since $\dim|\bar{S}_2| = 2$, $\hat{X}$ is not a curve. Since $\dim|\bar{S}_1| = 0$, $\hat{X}$ is either $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3)$ or $\mathrm{DP}_5^{\mathrm{A}_4}$. We have a contradiction by Lemma 2.8.1 because $\dim|\bar{S}_2| = 2$. Therefore, the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. We can write

$$\hat{q} = 7s_1 + a_1e = s_1 + 3s_2 + a_2e.$$

Assume that $\beta_2 \geq 2$. Then $\beta_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}\beta_2 \geq 1$, $a_2 \geq 6$, and $\hat{q} \geq 9$. In this case, $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, $\dim|2\Theta| \leq 1$, $s_2 \geq 3$, $\hat{q} \geq 17$, $s_2 \geq 7$, and $\hat{q} \geq 28$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\alpha < \beta_2 < 2$. If $f(E)$ is either a Gorenstein point or curve, then α, $\beta_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and so $\alpha \leq 0$. Again we get a contradiction. Thus $f(E)$ is a point of index $r = 2$ or 5.

6.5.3. Subcase $r = 2$. Then $\beta_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, so $\beta_2 = 1$ and $\alpha = 1/2$. Since $A \sim -K_X$ near $f(E)$, we have $\beta_1 = 1/2 + m_1$, where m_1 is a non-negative integer. As above we have

$$a_1 = 3 + 7m_1, \quad a_2 = m_1 + 3,$$

$$\hat{q} = 7(s_1 + m_1e) + 3 = s_1 + m_1e + 3(s_2 + e) \geq 6.$$

The only possibility is $s_1 + m_1e = 2$, $\hat{q} = 17$, $s_2 + e = 5$. But then $\dim|6\Theta| = 1$, so $s_2 \geq 7$, a contradiction.

6.5.4. Subcase $r = 5$. Then $\alpha = 1/5$, $\beta_1 = 3/5 + m_1$, and $\beta_2 = 1/5 + m_2$, where m_1 and m_2 are non-negative integers with $m_2 \geq 1$. Since $\mathrm{g}(\hat{X}) \geq \mathrm{g}(X) = 17$, we have $\hat{q} \leq 13$. Further,

$$a_1 = 4 + 7m_1, \quad a_2 = 1 + m_1 + 3m_2 \geq 4.$$

This gives us

$$\hat{q} = 4e + 7(s_1 + m_1 e) = e + s_1 + m_1 e + 3(s_2 + m_2 e) \geq 7.$$

Hence, $\hat{q} = 8$ or 11. If $\hat{q} = 8$, then $s_1 = m_1 = 0$ and $e = 2$. On the other hand, $e = 1$ by Lemma 2.6.3, a contradiction. Therefore, $\hat{q} = 11$, $e = 1$, $s_1 + m_1 = 1$, $s_2 + m_2 = 3$, and $s_2 \leq 2$. On the other hand, $\dim|2\Theta| < \dim|2A|$, a contradiction.

$\square$

7. Case $\mathrm{qW}(X) = 5$

7.1. Lemma. *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with $\mathrm{qW}(X) = \mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 5$. Let $-K_X = 5A$. Assume that $\mathrm{g}(X) \geq 19$. Then the group $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free and we have one of the following cases:*

			$\dim\|kA\|$				
	B	A^3	$\|A\|$	$\|2A\|$	$\|3A\|$	$\|4A\|$	$\|-K\|$
1^o	(2)	$1/2$	2	6	12	21	33
2^o	$(2,2,3,6)$	$1/2$	1	5	11	20	32
3^o	$(6,7)$	$19/42$	1	4	10	18	29
4^o	$(2,4,6)$	$5/12$	1	4	9	17	27
5^o	$(2,3,7)$	$17/42$	1	4	9	16	26
6^o	$(2,2,8)$	$3/8$	1	4	8	15	24
7^o	$(7,9)$	$22/63$	1	3	7	13	22
8^o	$(2,2,3)$	$1/3$	1	4	8	14	22
9^o	$(4,7)$	$9/28$	1	3	7	13	21
10^o	$(4,12)$	$1/3$	1	3	7	13	21
11^o	$(2,2,2,3,3,6)$	$1/3$	0	3	7	13	21

7.2. Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 5$. Assume that $\mathrm{g}(X) \geq 21$. We also assume that $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = \mathrm{qW}(X)$. The case $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) \neq \mathrm{qW}(X)$ is excluded by Lemma 7.10 below. Thus X is described in Lemma 7.1 and $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free by Lemma 2.3. The case 1^o is considered in [San96]. We will show that cases 2^o – 7^o and 9^o – 11^o do not occur and in the case 8^o we have $X \simeq X_4 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2^2, 3)$. Note that the case 2^o was disproved in [Pro07].

In cases 2^o – 7^o we put $\mathscr{M} := |A|$. Let $P \in X$ be a point of index r', where we take r' as follows:

No.	2^o	3^o	4^o	5^o	6^o	7^o	9^o	10^o
r'	6	6	6	7	8	7	7	12
m	5	5	5	3	5	3	3	5

Here m is an integer such that $0 \le m < r'$ and $\mathscr{M} \sim -mK_X$ near P. Then $c \le 1/m$ (see 2.4.5) and so $\beta_1 \ge m\alpha$.

For some $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we can write

$$-K_{\bar{X}} \sim 5\bar{S}_1 + a_1\bar{E} \sim \bar{S}_1 + 2\bar{S}_2 + a_2\bar{E}, \tag{7.2.1}$$

where

$$a_1 = 5\beta_1 - \alpha \ge (5m-1)\alpha, \quad a_2 = \beta_1 + 2\beta_2 - \alpha. \tag{7.2.2}$$

7.3. Cases 2^o, 3^o, 4^o, 6^o. By (7.2.2) we have $a_1 \ge 3$. Assume that the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. Since $\hat{q} > a_1 \ge 24\alpha$, we have $\alpha < 1$. Hence, $\mathrm{g}(\hat{X}) \ge \mathrm{g}(X) \ge 23$. On the other hand, $\hat{q} \ge 5s_1 + a_1 \ge 9$. This contradicts Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 (i)-(ii).

Thus $\bar{f}$ is not birational. Then $3 \ge a_1 \ge 24\alpha$. This is possible only in the case 6^o and then $a_1 = 3$, $\bar{f}$ is a generically $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle and $\bar{S}_1$ is $\bar{f}$-vertical. Thus $\bar{S}_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ is a general fiber. Furthermore, $\alpha = 1/8$. This means that $f(E)$ is the point of index 8 and $\beta_1 = 5/8$. Similar to (7.2.1) write

$$-K_{\bar{X}} \sim \bar{S}_3 + 2\bar{S}_1 + a_3\bar{E},$$

where $a_3 = 2\beta_1 + \beta_3 - \alpha \ge 9/8$. Since $\dim|3A| > 3$, $\bar{S}_3$ is $\bar{f}$-horizontal. Hence, $a_3 = 2$ and $\mathscr{O}_{\bar{S}_1}(\bar{S}_3) = \mathscr{O}_{\bar{S}_1}(\bar{E}) = \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\bar{X}}(\bar{S}_3 - \bar{S}_1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\bar{X}}(\bar{S}_3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\bar{S}_1}(\bar{S}_3) \longrightarrow 0.$$

we get $\dim H^0(\mathscr{O}_{\bar{X}}(\bar{S}_3 - \bar{S}_1)) \ge 9 - 3 = 6$. Therefore, $\dim|2A| = \dim|S_3 - S_1| \ge 5$, a contradiction.

7.4. Case 5^o. We have $\beta_1 \ge 5\alpha$ and $a_1 \ge 14\alpha \ge 2$. Moreover, $f(E) \in X$ is a cyclic quotient singularity of index $r = 2$, 3 or 7. If $r = 2$, then $a_1 \ge 7$. Hence the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational and $\hat{q} = 5s_1 + a_1e \ge 13$, $s_1 \ge 2$, a contradiction. If $r = 3$, then $\beta_1 = 2/3 + m_1$ and $a_1 = 3 + 5m_1$, where $m_1 \ge 1$ because $\beta_1 \ge 3\alpha$. In this situation, $\bar{f}$ is birational and

$$\hat{q} = 5s_1 + a_1e = 5s_1 + 3e + 5m_1e \ge 13.$$

On the other hand, $\mathrm{g}(\hat{X}) \ge 25$. This contradicts Theorem 1.2.

Therefore, $r = 7$. Then $\beta_1 = 3/7 + m_1$, $\beta_2 = 6/7 + m_2$, $a_1 = 2 + 5m_1$, and $a_2 = 2 + m_1 + 2m_2$. If $\bar{f}$ is not birational, then $a_1 = a_2 = 2$ and the divisors $\bar{S}_1$, $\bar{S}_2$ are $\bar{f}$-vertical. Since $\dim|\Theta| = \dim|\bar{S}_1| = 1$, $\hat{X}$ is either a curve or $\mathbb{P}(1^2, 2)$ (see 2.5). On the other hand, $\dim|2\Theta| = \dim|\bar{S}_2| = 4$, a contradiction. Hence the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. In this case,

$$\hat{q} = 2e + 5(s_1 + m_1e) = 2e + s_1 + m_1e + 2(s_2 + m_2e),$$

where $0 < s_2 + m_2e = 2(s_1 + m_1e)$. So, $\hat{q} \geq 7$. Since $\mathrm{g}(\hat{X}) \geq \mathrm{g}(X) = 25$, by Theorem 1.2 and of (i)-(iii) of Theorem 1.3 we have $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$. Therefore, $s_2 \leq 2$ and $3 = \dim|2\Theta| \geq \dim|S_2| = 4$, a contradiction.

7.5. Case 7^o. As above we see that $f(E)$ is a non-Gorenstein point. If $f(E)$ is the point of index 9, then $\beta_1 = 2/9 + m_1$ and $a_1 = 1 + 5m_1$, where $m_1 \geq 1$. Hence the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. Then $\hat{q} = 5s_1 + e + 5m_1e \geq 11$, $s_1 \geq 2$, $\hat{q} \geq 17$, $s_1 \geq 5$, and $\hat{q} > 19$ a contradiction.

Therefore, $f(E)$ is the point of index 7. Then $\beta_1 = 3/7 + m_1$, $\beta_2 = 6/7 + m_2$, $a_1 = 2 + 5m_1$, and $a_2 = 2 + m_1 + 2m_2$. If $\bar{f}$ is not birational, then $a_1 = a_2 = 2$ and divisors $\bar{S}_1$, $\bar{S}_2$ are $\bar{f}$-vertical. Since $\dim|\bar{S}_2| > 2$, the variety $\hat{X}$ is not a curve.

7.5.1. Therefore, $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$ and the linear system $|\bar{S}_2|$ is base point free. In this situation, $\bar{S}_2$ is a Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_n$. Let Λ and Σ be its fiber and negative section, respectively.

$$-K_{\bar{S}_2} = (-K_{\bar{X}} - 2\bar{S}_1)|_{\bar{S}_2} = (3\bar{S}_1 + 2\bar{E})|_{\bar{S}_2}$$

Thus,

$$2\bar{E}|_{\bar{S}_2} = -K_{\bar{S}_2} - 3\Lambda = 2\Sigma + (n-1)\Lambda.$$

Since $\bar{E}|_{\bar{S}_2}$ is an irreducible section, there is only one possibility: $\bar{E}|_{\bar{S}_2} = \Sigma$ and $n = 1$. Since $\dim|\hat{S}_4| > 9$, the divisor $\bar{S}_4$ is $\bar{f}$-horizontal. Similar to (7.2.1) and (7.2.2) we have

$$-K_{\bar{X}} \sim \bar{S}_1 + \bar{S}_4 + a_4\bar{E}, \qquad a_4 = \beta_1 + \beta_4 - \alpha > 0.$$

Hence, $a_4 = 1$ and $\bar{S}_4 \sim \bar{E} + 4\bar{S}_1$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\bar{X}}(\bar{S}_4 - \bar{S}_2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\bar{X}}(\bar{S}_4) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\bar{S}_2}(\bar{S}_4) \longrightarrow 0.$$

we get $\dim H^0(\mathscr{O}_{\bar{X}}(\bar{S}_4 - \bar{S}_2)) \geq 14 - 9 = 5$. Therefore,

$$\dim|2A| = \dim|S_4 - S_2| \geq 4,$$

a contradiction.

7.5.2. Hence the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. Then

$$\hat{q} = 2e + 5(s_1 + m_1e) = 2e + s_1 + m_1e + 2(s_2 + m_2e),$$

$0 < s_2 + m_2e = 2(s_1 + m_1e)$, and $\hat{q} \geq 7$. If $s_1 + m_1e > 1$, then $s_1 + m_1e = 3$, $\hat{q} = 2e + 15$, $e \geq 2$, $\hat{q} \geq 19$, $e \geq 3$, and $s_1 = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, $s_1 + m_1e = 1$, $s_2 + m_2e = 2$, $s_1 = 1$, $\hat{q} = 2e + 5$, $\hat{q} = 7$, and $e = 1$. By [Pro10, Th. 1.4 (vi)] $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$. Further, $s_2 = 2$ and $\dim|2\Theta| = \dim|S_2|$. Using (2.6.1) write

$$K_{\bar{X}} + \tfrac{7}{2}\bar{S}_2 \quad = \quad \bar{f}^*(K_{\hat{X}} + \tfrac{7}{2}\hat{S}_2) + (b - \tfrac{7}{2}\gamma_2)\bar{F}.$$

Pushing this relation down to X we get $2 = b - 7\gamma_2/2$. Since $b \geq 2$, $Q := \bar{f}(\bar{F}) \in \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$ is a smooth point [Kaw96]. On the other hand, the base locus of $|2\Theta|$ is the point $(0:0:0:1)$ of index 3. Hence, $\gamma_2 = 0$ and $b = 2$. Thus $\bar{f}$ is the usual blowup of a smooth point [Kaw01].

Let $\hat{\mathscr{S}}_2$ be the subsystem of $|2\Theta| = |\hat{S}_2|$ consisting of divisors passing through Q. Write

$$\bar{S}_2 \sim \bar{f}^* \hat{\mathscr{S}}_2 = \bar{\mathscr{S}}_2 + \bar{F},$$

where $\bar{\mathscr{S}}_2$ is the birational transform. Pushing these relations down to X we get $S_2 \sim \mathscr{S}_2 + F$. Hence, $S_1 \sim \mathscr{S}_2$. On the other hand, $\dim \mathscr{S}_2 = 2$, a contradiction.

7.6. Case 9^o. Then $\beta_1 \geq 3\alpha$, $a_1 = 5\beta_1 - \alpha \geq 14\alpha \geq 2$. Moreover, $f(E)$ is a non-Gorenstein point. If $f(E)$ is the point of index 4, then $\beta_1 = 1/4 + m_1$, $a_1 = 1 + 5m_1$, where $m_1 \geq 1$. Hence the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. Then $\hat{q} = 5s_1 + a_1 e = 5s_1 + e + 5m_1 e \geq 11$, $s_1 \geq 2$, $\hat{q} \geq 17$, $s_1 \geq 5$, and $\hat{q} > 19$, a contradiction.

Therefore, $f(E)$ is the point of index 7. Then $\beta_1 = 3/7 + m_1$, $\beta_2 = 6/7 + m_2$, $a_1 = 2 + 5m_1$, and $a_2 = 2 + m_1 + 2m_2$. If $\bar{f}$ is not birational, then $a_1 = a_2 = 2$, $\bar{S}_1$, $\bar{S}_2$ are $\bar{f}$-vertical. Since $\dim |\bar{S}_2| > 1$, $\hat{X}$ is not a curve. Hence, $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$. Then we get a contradiction as in 7.5.1.

Hence the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. Then

$$\hat{q} = 2e + 5(s_1 + m_1 e) = 2e + s_1 + m_1 e + 2(s_2 + m_2 e).$$

This gives us $0 < s_2 + m_2 e = 2(s_1 + m_1 e)$ and $\hat{q} \geq 7$. If $s_1 + m_1 e > 1$, then $s_1 + m_1 e = 3$, $\hat{q} = 2e + 15$, $e \geq 2$, $\hat{q} \geq 19$, $e \geq 3$, and $\hat{q} > 19$, a contradiction. Therefore, $s_1 + m_1 e = 1$, $s_2 + m_2 e = 2$, $s_1 = 1$, $\hat{q} = 2e + 5$, $\hat{q} = 7$, $e = 1$, and $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$. The we get a contradiction as in 7.5.2.

7.7. Case 10^o. Then $\beta_1 \geq 5\alpha$, $a_1 = 5\beta_1 - \alpha \geq 24\alpha \geq 2$. In particular, this implies that $f(E)$ is a non-Gorenstein point. Assume that $f(E)$ is the point of index 4. Near $f(E)$ we have $-K_X \sim A$, so $\beta_1 = 1/4 + m_1$, where $m_1 \geq 1$. Hence, $a_1 = 5\beta_1 - \alpha = 1 + 5m_1 \geq 6$. This implies that $\bar{f}$ is birational, $\hat{q} = 5s_1 + e + 5m_1 e \geq 11$, $\mathrm{Cl}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, $s_1 \geq 2$, $\hat{q} \geq 17$, $s_1 \geq 5$, and $\hat{q} > 19$, a contradiction. Therefore, $f(E)$ is the point of index 12. Then we can argue as in the case 9^o

7.8. Case 8^o. We show that in this case X is a hypersurface of degree 4 in $\mathbb{P}(1^2, 2^2, 3)$. The following is similar to Proposition 2.8.

7.8.1. Lemma. *Let M be a del Pezzo surface with Du Val singularities such that $K_M^2 = 6$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *$-K_M \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 3L$ for some Weil divisor L;*
- (ii) *$\mathrm{Sing}(M)$ consists of a unique Du Val point of type A_2.*
- (iii) *$M \simeq M_4 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$, where M_4 is given by $x_1 x_3 + x_2^2 + x_1'^4 = 0$.*

Similar to 3.2 it is sufficient to prove the following.

7.8.2. Proposition. *Let X be a Fano threefold of type 8^o. Then a general member $M \in |2A|$ is a Du Val del Pezzo surface of degree 6 such that $-K_M$ is divisible by 3 in $\mathrm{Cl}(M)$.*

Proof. Put $\mathscr{M} := |2A|$. As in 3.1 we show that the pair $(X, \mathscr{M})$ is canonical. Assume the converse. In (2.4.4) we have $0 < a_0 = \beta_2 - \alpha$. Hence, $\beta_2 > \alpha$ and $\beta_1 > \frac{1}{2}\alpha$. By (7.2.2) we have

$$a_1 = 5\beta_1 - \alpha > 3\beta_1, \quad a_2 = \beta_1 + 2\beta_2 - \alpha > \beta_1 + \beta_2, \qquad a_1,\, a_2 \ge 2.$$

If $\bar{f}$ is not birational, then $\bar{S}_1$ and $\bar{S}_2$ are $\bar{f}$-vertical. Since $\dim |\bar{S}_2| = 4$, $\hat{X}$ is not a curve. Since $\dim |\bar{S}_1| = 1$, the surface $\hat{X}$ is either $\mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{P}(1^2, 2)$. We have a contradiction because $\dim |\bar{S}_2| = 4$. Therefore, the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. Write

$$\hat{q} = 5s_1 + a_1 e = s_1 + 2s_2 + a_2 e \ge 7.$$

If $s_1 \ge 2$, then $\hat{q} \ge 13$ and $\alpha \ge 1$ because $\mathrm{g}(\hat{X}) \ge 21$. In this situation, we get successively $\beta_1 > 1$, $a_1 \ge 4$, $\hat{q} \ge 17$, $s_1 \ge 5$, and $\hat{q} > 19$, a contradiction. Therefore, $s_1 = 1$. By [Pro10, Th. 1.4 (vi)] $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$. Then $3 = \dim |2\Theta| > \dim |2A| = 4$. Hence, $s_2 \ge 3$ and $a_2 = 0$, a contradiction. □

7.9. Cases 11^o. Take $\mathscr{M} = |2A|$. Near the point of index 6 we have $\mathscr{M} \sim -4K_X$. Hence, $c \le 1/4$, $\beta_2 \ge 4\alpha$, and $\beta_1 \ge 2\alpha$. If $\alpha \ge 1$, then $a_2 \ge 9\alpha \ge 9$ by (7.2.2). Hence the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational and $\hat{q} \ge 2s_2 + 9 \ge 11$. In this case, $s_2 \ge 4$, $\hat{q} \ge 17$, $s_2 > 7$, and $\hat{q} > 19$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\alpha < 1$ and $f(E)$ is a point of index $r = 2$, 3, or 6.

7.9.1. Subcase $r = 2$. Then $\alpha = 1/2$, $\beta_1 = 1/2 + m_1$, and $\beta_2 = m_2$, where $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_1 \ge 1$, $m_2 \ge 2$. Hence, $a_1 = 2 + 5m_1 \ge 7$ and $a_2 = 2m_2 + m_1$. This implies that $\bar{f}$ is birational and

$$\hat{q} = 2e + 5(s_1 + em_1) = 2(s_2 + em_2) + s_1 + em_1 \ge 7.$$

If $s_1 + em_1 > 1$, then $\hat{q} \ge 13$ and so $s_1 + em_1$ is odd. Hence, $\hat{q} \ge 17$, $e \ge 2$, $\hat{q} \ge 19$, $e \ge 3$, and $\hat{q} > 19$, a contradiction. Thus, $s_1 + em_1 = 1$ and so $m_1 = e = 1$, $s_1 = 0$, $\hat{q} = 7$, $s_2 + em_2 = 3$, $s_2 = 1$, This contradicts [Pro10, Th. 1.4 (vi)].

7.9.2. Subcase $r = 3$ and $\alpha = 2/3$. Then $\beta_1 = 1/3 + m_1$ and $\beta_2 = 2/3 + m_2$, where $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_1 \ge 1$, and $m_2 \ge 2$. Hence, $a_1 = 1 + 5m_1 \ge 6$ and $a_2 = 1 + 2m_2 + m_1$. This implies that $\bar{f}$ is birational and

$$\hat{q} = e + 5(s_1 + em_1) = e + 2(s_2 + em_2) + s_1 + em_1 \ge 7.$$

By [Pro10, Th. 1.4 (vi)] $s_2 \ge 2$, so $\hat{q} \ge 9$, $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free, $s_2 \ge 4$, $\hat{q} \ge 17$, $s_2 > 7$, and $\hat{q} > 19$, a contradiction.

7.9.3. Subcase $r = 3$ and $\alpha = 1/3$. Then $\beta_1 = 2/3 + m_1$ and $\beta_2 = 1/3 + m_2$, where $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_2 \geq 1$. Hence, $a_1 = 3+5m_1$ and $a_2 = 1+2m_2+m_1 \geq 3$. If $\bar{f}$ is not birational, then it is generically $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle and the divisors $\bar{S}_1$ and $\bar{S}_2$ are $\bar{f}$-vertical. Since $\dim |\bar{S}_2| = 3$, $\bar{S}_2$ must be reducible, a contradiction. Therefore, the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational and

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{q} = 3e + 5(s_1 + em_1) = e + 2(s_2 + em_2) + s_1 + em_1 \geq 5, \\ &e + 2(s_1 + em_1) = s_2 + em_2 > em_2. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see from the second relation that $s_1+em_1 \neq 0$. If $s_1+em_1 = 1$, then $2 = s_2 + e(m_2 - 1)$, $s_2 \leq 2$, $\hat{q} \leq 8$, $e = 1$, and $\hat{q} = 8$. By Lemma 2.6.2 and Theorem 1.3 (ii) we get a contradiction. Thus $s_1 + em_1 > 1$. As above we have $\hat{q} = 11$. But then $e < 0$, a contradiction.

7.9.4. Subcase $r = 6$. Then $\alpha = 1/6$, $\beta_1 = 5/6 + m_1$, and $\beta_2 = 4/6 + m_2$, where $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Hence, $a_1 = 4+5m_1$ and $a_2 = 2+2m_2+m_1$. This implies that $\bar{f}$ is birational and

$$\hat{q} = 4e + 5(s_1 + em_1) = 2e + 2(s_2 + em_2) + s_1 + em_1.$$

If $s_1 + em_1 > 0$, then $\hat{q} \geq 9$, $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free, $s_2 \geq 4$, $\hat{q} \geq 11$. On the other hand, $s_2 \leq (\hat{q} - 3)/3 \leq 8$. By Theorem 1.2 we get successively $\hat{q} \leq 13$, $s_2 \leq 5$, $s_1 + em_1 = 1$, $\hat{q} = 4e + 5$, $s_2 + em_2 = e + 2$, $e = 2$, $m_2 = 0$, $s_2 = 4$, $\hat{q} = 14$, a contradiction. Therefore, $s_1 = m_1 = 0$ and $\hat{q} = 4e = 2e+2(s_2+em_2)$. By Lemma 2.6.3 the group $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free and $e = 1$. Hence, $\hat{q} = 4$ and $s_2 + em_2 = 1$. By Proposition 2.9 $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$. Using (2.6.1) we write

$$K_{\bar{X}} + 4\bar{\mathscr{M}} = \bar{f}^*(K_{\hat{X}} + 4\hat{\mathscr{M}}) + (b - 4\gamma_2)\bar{S}_1,$$

where $K_{\hat{X}} + 4\hat{\mathscr{M}} \sim 0$. Hence, $b = 4\gamma_2 + 3$ and so $Q := \bar{f}(\bar{S}_1)$ is a point. Since $\hat{\mathscr{M}} = |\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)|$ is a complete linear system and it is a base point free, we have $\gamma_2 = 0$ and $b = 3$. Let $\hat{\mathscr{M}}' \subset |\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)|$ be the subsystem consisting of divisors passing through Q. Then

$$K_{\bar{X}} + 4\bar{\mathscr{M}}' = \bar{f}^*(K_{\hat{X}} + 4\hat{\mathscr{M}}') + (b - 4\gamma_2')\bar{S}_1.$$

where $\gamma_2' > 0$. Then $-8 + 4m = b - 4\gamma_2'$, where $\mathscr{M}' \sim mA$. We obtain $8 = 3+4m+4\gamma_2'$, where $m > 1$ because $\dim \mathscr{M}' > \dim |A|$, a contradiction.

7.10. Lemma. *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with* $\mathrm{qQ}(X) = 5$. *If* $\mathrm{qW}(X) \neq \mathrm{qQ}(X)$, *then* $\mathrm{g}(X) \leq 8$.

Proof. Similar to Lemma 6.1. □

8. Case $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 4$

8.1. Lemma. *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with $\mathrm{qW}(X) = \mathbb{Q}(X) = 4$ and $\mathrm{g}(X) \geq 22$. Assume that $\mathbf{B}(X) \neq \varnothing$. Let $-K_X = 4A$. Then the group $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free and we have one of the following cases:*

			dim $\lvert kA\rvert$			
	$\mathbf{B}$	A^3	$\lvert A\rvert$	$\lvert 2A\rvert$	$\lvert 3A\rvert$	$\lvert -K\rvert$
1^o	(11)	$10/11$	2	7	16	30
2^o	$(5,7)$	$32/35$	2	7	16	30
3^o	$(3,5)$	$13/15$	2	7	16	29
4^o	$(3,9)$	$8/9$	2	7	16	29
5^o	(5)	$4/5$	2	7	15	27
6^o	(13)	$10/13$	1	6	14	25
7^o	$(5,9)$	$34/45$	1	6	13	25
8^o	(7)	$5/7$	2	6	13	24
9^o	(11)	$8/11$	2	6	13	24
10^o	(3)	$2/3$	2	6	13	23

8.2. Now let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 4$ and $\mathrm{g}(X) \geq 22$. We also assume that $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = \mathrm{qW}(X)$. For the case $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) \neq \mathrm{qW}(X)$ see Lemma 8.5 below. If $\mathbf{B}(X) = \varnothing$, then $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$ (see, e.g., [IP99]). Hence, X is described in Lemma 8.1 and $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free. We will show that cases 1^o – 9^o do not occur. The case 10^o is considered in [San96].

Thus we may assume that $\mathbf{B}(X) \neq \varnothing$ and $\mathrm{g}(X) \geq 23$. Put $\mathscr{M} := \lvert A\rvert$. Let $P \in X$ be a point of index r', where we take r' as follows:

No.	1^o	2^o	3^o	4^o	5^o	6^o	7^o	8^o	9^o
r'	11	5	5	9	5	13	9	7	11
m	3	4	4	7	4	10	7	2	3

Here m is an integer such that $0 \leq m < r'$ and $\mathscr{M} \sim -mK_X$ near P. Then $\alpha/\beta_1 = c \leq 1/m$ (see 2.4.5) and so $\beta_1 \geq m\alpha$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\bar{X}} &+4\bar{S}_1 &+a_1\bar{E} &\sim 0, \\ K_{\bar{X}} &+2\bar{S}_2 &+a_2\bar{E} &\sim 0, \end{aligned} \tag{8.2.1}$$

where

$$a_1 = 4\beta_1 - \alpha \geq (4m-1)\alpha > 0, \quad a_2 = 2\beta_2 - \alpha. \tag{8.2.2}$$

8.2.3. Claim. *$f(E)$ is a point of index > 1.*

Proof. Assume that $f(E)$ is either a curve or Gorenstein point. Then $a_1 \geq 7$, so the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational and we get successively $\hat{q} \geq 4s_1 + 7 \geq 11$, $s_1 \geq 2$, $\hat{q} \geq 17$, $s_1 \geq 5$, and $\hat{q} > 19$, a contradiction. Therefore, $f(E)$ is a cyclic quotient singularity of index $r > 1$ and $\alpha = 1/r$. We also claim that $a_2 > 0$. Indeed, otherwise $2\beta_2 = \alpha = 1/r$. On the other hand, $\beta_2 \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. □

8.3. Assume that $\bar{f}$ is not birational. By (8.2.1) the divisor $\bar{S}_1$ is $\bar{f}$-vertical and the class of the divisor $\bar{f}(\bar{S}_1)$ is a generator of $\operatorname{Cl}(\hat{X})$. If $\hat{X}$ is a curve, then $\dim|\bar{S}_1| = 1$ (cases 6^o and 7^o). Since $\dim|\bar{S}_2| > 2$, $\bar{S}_2$ is $\bar{f}$-horizontal. Then $\bar{f}$ is a generically $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle, $a_2 = 1$, and $\mathscr{O}_{\bar{S}_1}(\bar{S}_2) = \mathscr{O}_{\bar{S}_1}(\bar{E}) = \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$. Thus $\bar{S}_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ is a general fiber. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\bar{X}}(\bar{S}_2 - \bar{S}_1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\bar{X}}(\bar{S}_2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\bar{S}_1}(\bar{S}_2) \longrightarrow 0.$$

we get $\dim H^0(\mathscr{O}_{\bar{X}}(\bar{S}_2 - \bar{S}_1)) \geq 7 - 3 = 4$. Therefore, $\dim|A| = \dim|S_2 - S_1| \geq 3$, a contradiction.

Thus $\hat{X}$ is a surface. In cases 6^o and 7^o we have $a_1 \geq 3$ by (8.2.2). Hence these cases are impossible and so $\dim|\bar{S}_1| = 2$. Then $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$. Since $\dim|\bar{S}_2| > 5$, $\hat{S}_2$ is $\bar{f}$-horizontal. Thus $a_2 = 0$, a contradiction.

8.4. Therefore, the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. Then

$$\hat{q} = 4s_1 + a_1 e \geq 5, \quad \mathrm{g}(\hat{X}) \geq \mathrm{g}(X) \geq 23.$$

Hence, $\operatorname{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free. Consider the case $\hat{q} \geq 6$. Then $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$ by (i)-(iv) of Theorem 1.3. Moreover, $s_1 = 1$ and $\dim|S_1| \leq \dim|\Theta| = 1$. Thus we are in cases 6^o or 7^o. By (8.2.2) we have $a_1 \geq 3$, so $e = 1$. Further, $\dim|\hat{S}_2| \geq 6$, so $s_2 = 3$, $a_2 = 1$, and $|S_2|$ is the birational transform of $|3\Theta|$. If $\bar{f}(\bar{F})$ is a non-Gorenstein point, then $\bar{f}$ is a Kawamata blowup [Kaw96] and our link is toric. This contradicts [Pro10, §10]. Thus $\bar{f}(\bar{F})$ is either a curve or a smooth point. On the other hand, the only base point of the linear system $|\hat{S}_2| = |3\Theta|$ is the point of type $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$. Hence, $\bar{S}_2 = \bar{f}^*\hat{S}_2$. Since $e = 1$ and $\operatorname{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free, by Lemma 2.6.3 we have $F \sim A$. Using (2.6.1) write

$$K_{\bar{X}} + \frac{7}{3}\bar{S}_2 = \bar{f}^*\Big(K_{\hat{X}} + \frac{7}{3}\hat{S}_2\Big) + b\bar{F}.$$

Pushing this relation down to X we get $b = -4 + 2 \cdot 7/3 = 2/3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction.

Therefore, $\hat{q} = 5$ and $s_1 = a_1 = e = 1$. Then by (v) of Theorem 1.3 we have either $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^3, 2)$ or $\mathrm{g}(\hat{X}) = 23$ (cases 8^o or 9^o). In the latter case, $\dim|\Theta| \geq \dim|S_1| = 2$, so again $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^3, 2)$ by Proposition 2.9. Since $a_1 = 1$, by (8.2.2) we infer $m \leq 3$. Hence, we are in cases 1^o, 8^o or 9^o. As above we have $F \sim A$ and

$$K_{\bar{X}} + 5\bar{S}_1 = \bar{f}^*(K_{\hat{X}} + 5\hat{S}_1) + (b - 5\gamma_1)\bar{F}.$$

Thus $b = 1 + 5\gamma_1 \geq 1$. Since $\dim|\hat{S}_1| = \dim|S_1|$, we have $\gamma_1 = 0$ and $b = 1$. Since $\mathbb{P}(1^3, 2)$ has no Gorenstein singular points, $C := \bar{f}(\bar{F})$ is a curve. Clearly, C is contained in $\hat{E}$, where $\mathscr{O}_{\hat{X}}(\hat{E}) = \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1^3,2)}(1)$. By [Kaw96] the curve C does not pass through the singular point of $\mathbb{P}(1^3, 2)$. This implies that C is a Cartier divisor on $\hat{E} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2)$ and so C is a complete intersection of members $\hat{E} \in |\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1^3,2)}(1)|$ and $\hat{D} \in |\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1^3,2)}(a)|$, $a > 0$. By (8.2.1) $s_2 \leq 2$. On the other hand, $\dim|\hat{S}_2| \geq \dim|S_2| = 6$. Hence, the case 1^o does not occur, $s_2 = 2$, and $|2\Theta|$ is the birational transform of $|2A|$. As above, using (2.6.1) we obtain $\gamma_2 = 0$ and $\delta = 1$. Hence $\hat{E}$ is the only element of $|\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1^3,2)}(1)|$ passing through C and no reduced irreducible members $|\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1^3,2)}(2)|$ pass through C. Therefore, $a \geq 3$. In this case, $\bar{E}$ is covered by a family of curves having negative intersection number with $-K_{\bar{X}}$, a contradiction.

8.5. Lemma. *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 4$. Assume that the torsion part of $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is non-trivial. Then $\mathrm{g}(X) \leq 19$.*

9. CASE $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 3$

9.1. Lemma. *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with $\mathrm{qW}(X) = \mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 3$ and $\mathrm{g}(X) \geq 21$. Assume that $\mathbf{B}(X) \neq \varnothing$. Let $-K_X = 3A$. Then the group $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free and we have one of the following cases:*

			$\dim\|kA\|$		
	B	A^3	$\|A\|$	$\|2A\|$	$\|-K\|$
1^o	(4)	$9/4$	4	14	32
2^o	(7)	$16/7$	4	14	32
3^o	$(2,4,4)$	2	3	12	28
4^o	$(4,5)$	$37/20$	3	11	26
5^o	$(2,4)$	$7/4$	3	11	25
6^o	$(2,7)$	$25/14$	3	11	25
7^o	$(2,10)$	$9/5$	3	11	25
8^o	(5)	$8/5$	3	10	23
9^o	(8)	$13/8$	3	10	23
10^o	(11)	$18/11$	3	10	23
11^o	$(2,2,2,7)$	$23/14$	2	10	23
12^o	$(5,7)$	$54/35$	2	9	22

	B	A^3	$\lvert A\rvert$	$\lvert 2A\rvert$	$\lvert -K\rvert$
13^o	(2)	3/2	3	10	22

9.2. Now let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = \mathrm{qW}(X) = 3$ and $\mathrm{g}(X) \ge 21$. If $\mathbf{B}(X) = \varnothing$, then X is a smooth quadric in $\mathbb{P}^4$ (see, e.g., [IP99]). Thus we may assume that $\mathbf{B}(X) \ne \varnothing$. Then X is described in Lemma 9.1 and $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is torsion free. In the case 13^o we have $X \simeq X_3 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^4, 2)$ by [San96]. We will show that cases 1^o – 12^o do not occur.

Write

$$K_{\bar{X}} + 3\bar{S}_1 + a_1\bar{E} \sim 0, \quad K_{\bar{X}} + \bar{S}_1 + \bar{S}_2 + a_2\bar{E} \sim 0, \tag{9.2.1}$$

where

$$a_1 = 3\beta_1 - \alpha, \quad a_2 = \beta_1 + \beta_2 - \alpha. \tag{9.2.2}$$

In all cases we have $\dim |A| \ge 2$. Put $\mathscr{M} := |A|$.

9.3. Cases 1^o-10^o. Let $P \in X$ be a point of index r', where we take r' as follows:

No.	1^o	2^o	3^o	4^o	5^o	6^o	7^o	8^o	9^o	10^o
r'	4	7	4	4	4	7	10	5	8	11
m	3	5	3	3	3	5	7	2	3	4

Here m is an integer such that $0 \le m < r'$ and $\mathscr{M} \sim -mK_X$ near P. Then $\alpha/\beta_1 = c \le 1/m$ (see 2.4.5) and so $\beta_1 \ge m\alpha$. Hence,

$$a_1 = 3\beta_1 - \alpha \ge (3m - 1)\alpha \ge 5\alpha > 0. \tag{9.3.1}$$

9.3.2. Lemma. $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$.

Proof. If $\bar{f}$ is not birational, then $\bar{S}_1$ is $\bar{f}$-horizontal (because $\dim |\bar{S}_1| \ge 3$). Restricting (9.2.1) to a general fiber we obtain $a_1 = 0$, a contradiction.

Therefore, the contraction $\bar{f}$ is birational. In this case, $\hat{q} \ge 3s_1 + a_1 \ge 4$. If $f(E)$ is either a curve or Gorenstein point, then we get successively $a_1 \ge 5$, $\hat{q} \ge 8$, $s_1 \ge 2$ (see [Pro10, Theorem 1.4 (vi)]), $\hat{q} \ge 11$, $s_1 \ge 4$, $\hat{q} \ge 17$, $s_1 \ge 7$, $\hat{q} > 19$, a contradiction.

Thus $f(E)$ is a point of index > 1. By Lemma 2.7 $\alpha < 1$. Then by Lemma 2.6.2 $\mathrm{g}(\hat{X}) \ge \mathrm{g}(X) \ge 22$. Using Theorem 1.2 and (i)-(iv) of Theorem 1.3 we get either $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5)$, $\mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$, or $\hat{q} \le 5$. If $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5)$, then $\dim |3\Theta| = 2$, $s_1 \ge 4$, $\hat{q} \ge 3s_1 \ge 13$, a contradiction. Thus we may assume that $4 \le \hat{q} \le 5$. Then by Lemmas 7.10 and 8.5 the group $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free. If $\hat{X}$ is singular, then by Proposition 2.9 we have $\dim |\Theta| \le 2$, so $s_1 \ge 2$ and $\hat{q} \ge 7$, a contradiction. It remains to consider the case where $\hat{X}$ is smooth. Then $\hat{q} = 4$ and $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$. Since $|2\Theta| = 9$ and $\dim |2A| \ge 10$, we have $s_2 \ge 3$. On the other hand, $a_2 = \beta_1 + \beta_2 - \alpha \ge (m - 1)\alpha$. Hence,

$a_2 \geq 1$. We get $4 = \hat{q} \geq s_1 + s_2 + a_2 \geq 5$. The contradiction proves the lemma. □

9.3.3. By the above, $s_1 = 2$ and $a_1 = e = 1$. Hence, $\dim |2\Theta| = \dim |A| = 3$ and the linear system $|2\Theta|$ is the proper transform of $|A|$. By Lemma 2.6.3 $F \sim A$. Using (2.6.1) write

$$K_{\bar{X}} + \tfrac{7}{2}\bar{S}_1 \equiv \bar{f}^*\left(K_{\hat{X}} + \tfrac{7}{2}\hat{S}_1\right) + \left(b - \tfrac{7}{2}\gamma_1\right)\bar{F} \equiv \left(b - \tfrac{7}{2}\gamma_1\right)\bar{F},$$
$$K_{\bar{X}} + 7\bar{E} \equiv \bar{f}^*\left(K_{\hat{X}} + 7\hat{E}\right) + (b - 7\delta)\,\bar{F} \equiv (b - 7\delta)\,\bar{F}.$$

Pushing these relations down to X we get

$$b = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{7}{2}\gamma_1 = -3 + 7\delta.$$

Hence, $b \geq 1/2$. On the other hand, $\operatorname{Bs}|2\Theta|$ is the point of index 3 and it is a cyclic quotient singularity. By [Kaw96] $\bar{f}(\bar{F}) \neq \operatorname{Bs}|2\Theta|$, so $\gamma_1 = 0$ and $b = 1/2 = \delta$. Write

$$K_{\hat{X}} + \hat{E} + 6\hat{B} \sim 0,$$

where $\hat{B}$ is a general member of $|\Theta|$. By taking the pull-back we get

$$0 \sim \bar{f}^*(K_{\hat{X}} + \hat{E} + 6\hat{B}) \sim K_{\bar{X}} + \bar{E} + 6\bar{B} + \lambda\bar{F},$$

where $\lambda \geq \delta - b = 0$ and $\dim |\bar{B}| \geq 1$. Therefore,

$$K_X + 6B + \lambda F \sim 0.$$

This contradicts $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 3$.

9.4. Cases 11^o and 12^o. Near the point of index 7 we have $-5K_X \sim A$. By Lemma 2.4.5 $c \leq 1/5$. Hence, $\beta_1 \geq 5\alpha$ and $a_1 \geq 14\alpha \geq 2$.

9.4.1. Lemma. *$f(E)$ is a point of index* 7.

Proof. Assume the converse. If $f(E)$ is either a curve or Gorenstein point, then $\hat{q} \geq 3s_1 + 14e \geq 17$. Hence, $e = 1$. On the other hand, $|\Theta| = \varnothing$, a contradiction. Therefore $f(E)$ is a point of index $r = 2$ (resp. $r = 5$) in the case 11^o (resp. in the case 12^o). We have $a_1 \geq 3$. If $\hat{f}$ is not birational, then $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\bar{f}$ is a generically $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle. Moreover, $\hat{S}_1$ is $\bar{f}$-vertical. This contradicts $\dim |S_1| = 2$. Therefore, $\hat{f}$ is birational. Then $\hat{q} = 3s_1 + a_1 e \geq 6$. On the other hand, $\mathrm{g}(\hat{X}) \geq 21$, so $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5)$, $\mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3)$ or $X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2, 3, 5)$ by Theorem 1.2 and (i)-(iv) of Theorem 1.3. Since $\dim |\hat{S}_1| \geq \dim |A| = 2$, we have $|\hat{S}_1| \neq |\Theta|$, so $s_1 \geq 2$, $\hat{q} \geq 9$, and $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5)$. In this case, $s_1 \geq 3$ and $\hat{q} \geq 16$, a contradiction. □

9.4.2. Thus $\alpha = 1/7$, $\beta_1 = 5/7 + m_1$, and $\beta_2 = 3/7 + m_2$, where m_1, m_2 are non-negative integers. Hence, $a_1 = 2 + 3m_1 \geq 2$ and $a_2 = 1 + m_1 + m_2 \geq 1$.

9.4.3. If $\bar{f}$ is not birational, then $m_1 = 0$, $a_1 = 2$, $\bar{S}_1$ is $\bar{f}$-vertical. Since $\dim|\bar{S}_1| = 2$, $\hat{X}$ is not a curve. Thus $\bar{f}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-conic bundle, $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, $\bar{f}_*|\bar{S}_1|$ is the complete linear system of lines on $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, and E is a generically section of $\bar{f}$. In this situation, there is an open subset $U \subset \hat{X}$ such that $\hat{X} \setminus U$ is finite, $\bar{f}^{-1}(U)$ is smooth, $\bar{f}$ induces a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\bar{f}^{-1}(U) \to U$, and $\bar{E} \cap \bar{f}^{-1}(U)$ is its section. We may assume that $\bar{S}_1 \subset \bar{f}^{-1}(U)$, so $\bar{f}|_{\bar{S}_1} : \bar{S}_1 \to \bar{f}(\bar{S}_1)$ is a (smooth) $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle. Let ℓ be a fiber of the projection $\bar{f}|_{\bar{S}_1} : \bar{S}_1 \to \bar{f}(\bar{S}_1)$ and let Σ be its minimal section. Let $n := -\Sigma^2$. Restricting the first relation of (9.2.1) to $\bar{S}_1$ we get

$$-K_{\bar{S}_1} = (-K_{\bar{X}} - \bar{S}_1)|_{\bar{S}_1} = 2\bar{S}_1|_{\bar{S}_1} + 2\bar{E}|_{\bar{S}_1} = 2\bar{E}|_{\bar{S}_1} + 2\ell.$$

Here $\bar{E}|_{\bar{S}_1}$ is an irreducible curve, a section of $\bar{f}|_{\bar{S}_1} : \bar{S}_1 \to \bar{f}(\bar{S}_1)$. On the other hand,

$$-n = -K_{\bar{S}_1} \cdot \Sigma - 2 = 2\bar{E}|_{\bar{S}_1} \cdot \Sigma + 2\ell \cdot \Sigma - 2 = 2\bar{E}|_{\bar{S}_1} \cdot \Sigma \geq 0.$$

This gives us $n = 0$, i.e. $\bar{S}_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and $\bar{E}|_{\bar{S}_1} \sim \Sigma$. Further, the divisor $\bar{S}_2$ is $\bar{f}$-horizontal. Indeed, otherwise $\bar{S}_2 \sim 2\bar{S}_1$ and $\dim|\bar{S}_2| = \dim|\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)| = 5$, a contradiction. Since $a_2 \geq 1$, the second relation of (9.2.1) can be written as $K_{\bar{X}} + \bar{S}_1 + \bar{S}_2 + \bar{E} \sim 0$, so $\bar{S}_2 \sim 2\bar{S}_1 + \bar{E}$. Clearly, $\bar{E}$ is a fixed component of the linear system $|\bar{S}_2 - \bar{S}_1| = |\bar{S}_1 + \bar{E}|$ (otherwise $\dim|\bar{S}_1 + \bar{E}| > \dim|\bar{S}_1| = 2$ and so $\dim|S_1| > 2$). Hence, $\dim|\bar{S}_2 - \bar{S}_1| = \dim|\bar{S}_1| = 2$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\bar{X}}(\bar{S}_2 - \bar{S}_1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\bar{X}}(\bar{S}_2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\bar{S}_1}(\bar{S}_2) \longrightarrow 0$$

we get

$$\dim H^0(\mathscr{O}_{\bar{S}_1}(\bar{S}_2)) \geq \dim H^0(\mathscr{O}_{\bar{X}}(\bar{S}_2)) - \dim H^0(\mathscr{O}_{\bar{X}}(\bar{S}_2 - \bar{S}_1)) \geq 7.$$

On the other hand, $\bar{S}_2|_{\bar{S}_1} \sim (2\bar{S}_1 + \bar{E})_{\bar{S}_1} \sim \Sigma + 2\ell$ and so

$$\dim H^0(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\Sigma + 2\ell)) = 6,$$

a contradiction.

9.4.4. Now let $\bar{f}$ be birational. Then

$$\hat{q} = 3s_1 + a_1 e = 2e + 3(s_1 + m_1 e) \geq 5.$$

On the other hand, $\mathrm{g}(\hat{X}) \geq 21$, so either $\hat{q} \leq 7$ or $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5)$ by Theorem 1.2 and (i)-(iv) of Theorem 1.3. By Lemma 2.3 the group $\mathrm{Cl}(\hat{X})$ is torsion free.

9.4.5. Subcase $\hat{q} \leq 7$. Then $s_1 = 1$ and $e \leq 2$. In particular, $\hat{q} \neq 6$. By Proposition 2.9 we have $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1^3, 2)$. Then $e = 1$ and $a_1 = 2$. Since $\dim|\Theta| = \dim|A|$, the linear system $|\Theta|$ is the proper transform of $|A|$.

As in the case 9.3.3 using (2.6.1) we get

$$b = 2 + 5\gamma_1 = 5\delta - 3 \geq 2.$$

Hence $Q := \bar{f}(\bar{F})$ is a smooth point. Since $\operatorname{Bs}|\Theta|$ coincides with the point of index 2, we have $\bar{f}(\bar{F}) \not\subset \operatorname{Bs}|\Theta|$ and $\gamma_1 = 0$. Then $b = 2$ and $\delta = 1$. Let $\hat{\mathscr{S}}_1$ be the subsystem of $|\Theta| = |\hat{S}_1|$ consisting of divisors passing through Q. Write

$$\bar{f}^*\hat{\mathscr{S}}_1 = \bar{\mathscr{S}}_1 + \gamma_1'\bar{F},$$

where $\bar{\mathscr{S}}_1 \subset \bar{X}$ is the proper transform and $\gamma_1' > 0$. Then

$$0 \sim f^*(K_{\hat{X}} + 3\hat{\mathscr{S}}_1 + 2\hat{E}) \sim K_{\bar{X}} + 3\bar{\mathscr{S}}_1 + 2\bar{E} + 3\gamma_1'\bar{F}.$$

We get a contradiction with $q = 3$.

9.4.6. Subcase $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5)$. Then $s_1 \geq 3$ and $s_2 \geq 7$. From the second relation of (9.2.1) we get $11 = \hat{q} = s_1 + s_2 + e(1 + m_1 + m_2)$. On the other hand, $9 = \dim|7\Theta| \geq \dim|\bar{S}_2|$. Thus $\dim|2A| = 9$. This is possible only in the case 12^o. Moreover, $s_1 = 3$, $s_2 = 7$, $e = 1$, $a_1 = 2$, and $a_2 = 1$.

Further, $\dim|3\Theta| = \dim|A|$ and $|3\Theta|$ is the proper transform of $|A|$. Similarly, $|7\Theta|$ is the proper transform of $|2A|$. As in the case 9.3.3 using (2.6.1) we get

$$b = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{11}{3}\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{7} + \frac{11}{7}\gamma_2 \geq \frac{2}{3}.$$

Hence $Q := \bar{f}(\bar{F})$ is either a smooth point or a curve [Kaw96] (otherwise $\bar{f}(\bar{F})$ is a point of index $r = 2$, 3, or 5, $\gamma_1 \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}$ and $b > 1/r$). In this case b is an integer, so γ_1, $\gamma_2 > 0$. This means that $\bar{f}(\bar{F}) \subset \operatorname{Bs}|3\Theta|$ and $\bar{f}(\bar{F}) \subset \operatorname{Bs}|7\Theta|$. On the other hand, $\operatorname{Bs}|7\Theta| \cap \operatorname{Bs}|3\Theta|$ is given by $\{x_1 = x_3 = x_2x_5 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5)$. Thus $\bar{f}(\bar{F})$ is a point of index 2 or 5, a contradiction.

Finally, as in previous sections, we need the following.

9.5. Lemma. *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold with* $\operatorname{q\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 3$. *If* $\operatorname{qW}(X) \neq \operatorname{q\mathbb{Q}}(X)$*, then* $\operatorname{g}(X) \leq 16$.

Proof. By [Pro10, Lemma 3.2 (iv)] there is a 3-torsion element $\Xi \in \operatorname{Cl}(X)$. Let $P_1, \dots, P_k \in X$ be all the points where the divisor Ξ is not Cartier and let $r_1, \dots r_k$ be indices of these points. According to [Pro10, Prop. 2.9] we have $\sum r_i = 18$, where each r_i is divisible by 3. Therefore,

$$-K_X \cdot c_2 \leq 24 - \sum(r_i - 1/r_i) = 6 + \sum 1/r_i \leq 8.$$

By [Suz04, Proposition 2.2]

$$(4\operatorname{q\mathbb{Q}}(X) - 3)(-K_X)^3 \leq 4\operatorname{q\mathbb{Q}}(X)^2(-K_X \cdot c_2).$$

Hence, $-K_X^3 \leq -4K_X \cdot c_2 \leq 32$. By [Pro07, (2.5.3)] we get

$$\operatorname{g}(X) < \frac{1}{2}(-K_X)^3 + 2 = 17.$$

□

References

[Ale94] V. Alexeev. General elephants of $\mathbf{Q}$-Fano 3-folds. *Compositio Math.*, 91(1):91–116, 1994.

[BKR10] G. Brown, M. Kerber, and M. Reid. Fano 3-folds in codimension 4, Tom and Jerry, Part I. *ArXiv e-prints*, September 2010.

[GRD] G. Brown and others. Graded ring database. `http://grdb.lboro.ac.uk`.

[BS07] G. Brown and K. Suzuki. Computing certain Fano 3-folds. *Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math.*, 24(3):241–250, 2007.

[Fu86] M. Furushima. Singular del Pezzo surfaces and analytic compactifications of 3-dimensional complex affine space $\mathbf{C}^3$. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 104: 1–28, 1986.

[IP99] V. A. Iskovskikh and Yu. Prokhorov. *Fano varieties. Algebraic geometry. V.*, *Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.* **47**, Springer, Berlin, 1999.

[Kaw92] Y. Kawamata. Boundedness of $\mathbf{Q}$-Fano threefolds. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Algebra, Part 3 (Novosibirsk, 1989)*, *Contemp. Math.* **131**, 439–445, Providence, RI, 1992. Amer. Math. Soc.

[Kaw96] Y. Kawamata. Divisorial contractions to 3-dimensional terminal quotient singularities. In *Higher-dimensional complex varieties (Trento, 1994)*, 241–246. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996.

[Kaw01] M. Kawakita. Divisorial contractions in dimension three which contract divisors to smooth points. *Invent. Math.*, 145(1):105–119, 2001.

[Kaw05] M. Kawakita. Three-fold divisorial contractions to singularities of higher indices. *Duke Math. J.*, 130(1):57–126, 2005.

[Mor75] S. Mori. On a generalization of complete intersections. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 15(3):619–646, 1975.

[MP08] S. Mori and Y. Prokhorov. On $\mathbf{Q}$-conic bundles. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 44(2):315–369, 2008.

[Pro] Y. Prokhorov. Pari code for searching $\mathbf{Q}$-Fano 3-folds. `http://mech.math.msu.su/algebra/wiki/doku.php/staff:prokhorov:q-fano`.

[Pro07] Y. Prokhorov. The degree of $\mathbf{Q}$-Fano threefolds. *Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math.*, 198(11):1683–1702, 2007.

[Pro10] Y. Prokhorov. $\mathbf{Q}$-Fano threefolds of large Fano index, I. Doc. Math., J. DMV, 15, 843–872, 2010.

[Rei] M. Reid. Graded rings and varieties in weighted projective space, preprint.

[Rei87] M. Reid. Young person's guide to canonical singularities. In *Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985)*, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **46**, 345–414. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.

[RS03] M. Reid and K. Suzuki. Cascades of projections from log del Pezzo surfaces. In *Number theory and algebraic geometry*, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.* **303**, 227–249. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[San96] T. Sano. Classification of non-Gorenstein $\mathbf{Q}$-Fano d-folds of Fano index greater than $d-2$. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 142:133–143, 1996.

[Sho85] V. V. Shokurov. A nonvanishing theorem. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 49(3):635–651, 1985.

[Suz04] K. Suzuki. On Fano indices of $\mathbf{Q}$-Fano 3-folds. *Manuscripta Math.*, 114(2):229–246, 2004.

Department of Algebra, Faculty of Mathematics, Moscow State University, Moscow 117234, Russia
Laboratory of Algebraic Geometry, SU-HSE, 7 Vavilova Str., Moscow 117312, Russia
E-mail address: `prokhoro@gmail.com`